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| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| :--- | :--- |
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| NDEP | Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (Carson City, NV office) |
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| PLPT | Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe |
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| QAPP | Quality Assurance Project Plan |
| RMHQ | Requirements to Maintain existing Higher Quality |
| RBA | Rapid Biossessment |
| TAS | Treatment in a similar manner As States |
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| TIDT | Tribal Interdisciplinary Team (PLPT) |
| TR | Truckee River |
| USBLM | United States Bureau of Land Management |
| USBOR | United States Bureau of Reclamation |
| USEPA | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| USFWS | United States Fish \& Wildlife Service |
| WQ | Water Quality |
| WQCP | Water Quality Control Plan |
| WQS | Water Quality Standards |

## BACKGROUND

In 1983, the Federal government established a Federal Indian Policy to treat Tribal governments on a government-to-government basis, and to support the principle of self-determination and local decision making by Indian Tribes. The 1987 Amendments to the Clean Water Act (CWA) added Section 518. This section authorizes the USEPA to treat Federally recognized Indian Tribes in a similar manner as states for certain provisions of the Act, including the water quality standards program. Water Quality Standards (WQS) play a critical role in the nation's water quality improvement programs. By establishing the goals for a water body, WQS provide the regulatory and legal basis for point source and nonpoint source water quality-based control beyond those required by the technological requirements of the CWA (USEPA 1990).

The first step for the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe (PLPT) to establish WQS within Reservation borders is to qualify for Treatment in a similar manner As States (TAS), or program authority for the WQS program. To do this, a Tribe must met the following: (1) it must be Federally recognized by the Department of the Interior and meet the definitions found in Section 518 of the CWA; (2) it must have a governing body which carries out substantial duties and powers; (3) it must be able to demonstrate authority to manage and protect water resources within the borders of the Reservation; and (4) in the judgment of the Regional Administrator (USEPA), there must be a reasonable expectation that it is capable of carrying out the functions of an effective WQS program. On the basis of the PLPT's capabilities, and their history of involvement with local and regional water quality and quantity issues, USEPA granted program authority under Section 106 of the CWA to the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe to develop a WQS program in May of 1990.

The PLPT approached the University of California, Davis - Limnological Research Group (Dr. John E. Reuter and Dr. Charles R. Goldman) to help undertake the task of developing a reasonable and scientifically sound set of WQS, which when implemented would help protect the beneficial uses of Pyramid Lake and that portion of the Truckee River within the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation (PLIR). The PLPT has a long history of actively pursuing the management and protection of its waterbodies. It was therefore concluded at the beginning of the WQS process that while the PLPT would use those standards established by the State of Nevada which it considered appropriate for protecting existing and desired beneficial uses of the river, the scientific team would develop sitespecific standards for the Lake and river where needed. This was to be done primarily on the basis of new and existing, site-specific, scientific data and experimentation. The standards recommended for Pyramid Lake are, in fact, the first set of standards ever developed and applied to the Lake; Pyramid Lake is not included in the State of Nevada WQS.

Since both Pyramid Lake and the Truckee River are important regional and national water bodies, and since the development of site-specific criteria were essential in these relatively unique systems, a diverse and comprehensive series of investigations were required. For Pyramid Lake, our approach included: evaluation of historical data, detailed limnological monitoring, field and laboratory experiments, limnological research, and modeling. Examples of topics investigated included, but were not limited to; measurement and evaluation of physical and chemical parameters, evaluation of nutrient and particulate matter, phytoplankton and zooplankton ecology, algal growth bioassays and nutrient limitation, measurement of surficial sediment composition, paleolimnology, measurement of primary productivity and algal biomass, internal and external loading of nutrients, development of nutrient budgets for carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus, estimates of sedimentation rates, evaluating susceptibility of the Lake to anoxia, primary productivity and dissolved oxygen modeling, modeling of total dissolved solids concentration, nonpoint source management and assessment, and Lake and watershed management. The results of these studies have been published in a series of technical reports and peer review scientific publications (see Reference List in this volume). The volumes entitled, Pyramid Lake,

Nevada, Water Quality Study 1989-1993, Volume I - Limnological Data, Volume II - Limnological Description, Volume III - Nutrient Budgets, and Volume IV - Modeling Studies, have been widely distributed regionally and contain much of the information used for developing the Pyramid Lake standards. A variety of sources including, but not limited to, historic and current monitoring data base, and new research/monitoring, existing State of Nevada WQS for the Truckee River, and numerous criteria published by the USEPA were used in the development of the Truckee River standards.

We believe this Water Quality Control Plan (WQCP) and standards recommended herein, are scientifically sound using the best data available at this time. Clearly, there are issues which still require further investigation such as, modeling of dissolved inorganic nitrogen dynamics in the river, ecological physiology of river periphyton, influence of augmented river flow on nutrient, dissolved oxygen and TDS levels, endocrine disruptor compound affects on aquatic life, and the management of local and regional nonpoint source pollution. The PLPT continues to have interest in addressing these and other related issues as part of its on-going commitment to water quality protection of its aquatic resources. The PLPT intends to further refine these standards as part of its triennial review and as new scientific data and methodologies becomes available. The PLPT will also continue its active role in regional dialogue on related water quality and water quantity issues. These standards are best viewed as one of many steps the PLPT has, and will, take in their mission of environmental stewardship.

The PLPT conducted public workshops on January 8, 2001 and April 3, 2007 in the Tribal Chambers located in Nixon, NV in accordance with 40 CFR Part 25. The PLPT also participated in several other local and regional meetings to present on the WQCP. At the request of several stakeholders, the public comment period was extended another 90 days to July 18, 2007 to ensure all interested and affected members of the public were given opportunity to review and comment on the PLPT's WQCP. Public and agency comments were solicited and received, to which the PLPT responded by written comments.

On January 30, 2007 the PLPT received TAS Program Authority for CWA Section 303 Water Quality Standards and CWA Section 401 Certification by the USEPA Region IX Administrator. The final version of the WQCP was reviewed by the Tribal Interdisciplinary Team (TIDT) and approved by the Pyramid Lake Tribal Council on September 19, 2008. The WQCP was then sent to the USEPA Region IX Regional Administrator on October 3, 2008 for final review and approval.

## SECTION I

## INTRODUCTION TO WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

The preservation (or reestablishment) of healthy aquatic ecosystems was made a priority in the United States when Congress passed the Federal Water Pollution Control Act in 1972; commonly referred to as the Clean Water Act (CWA). This national commitment to high water quality is evident in the basic objective(s) of the WQS program of the CWA. Indeed, the objective of the standards program is to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the Nation's waters and, where attainable, to achieve a level of water quality which provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, wildlife and recreation in and on the water (USEPA 1988). These natural and human uses of different waterbodies are ideally protected through the development of WQS for a State's waterbodies designed to promote healthy self-sustaining ecosystems. In this section, we provide a brief description of WQS as defined in the CWA as an introduction to recommended Water Quality Standard to be presented later in this document. Additional information is available through publications prepared by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (e.g. USEPA 1983; USEPA 1988; USEPA 1990), which were primary sources of information for this section.

## Background

Congress enacted the CWA in response to public concern about the status of our Nation's waters, and the WQS program is of central importance to the mission of the Act. The WQS program of the CWA is authorized under section 303 of the Act and is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This program requires that each State identify waterbodies within their borders and establish water characteristics that, if attained, will protect the integrity of those surface waters. These water quality characteristics or standards are then submitted to USEPA for approval based on how they meet the objective and regulations of the CWA. The role of USEPA in the process is to work with States to further develop WQS for the protection of the State's waters. On rare occasions, USEPA establishes WQS within a State's borders if the State fails to comply with the CWA.

Indian Tribes may now also participate in a similar manner as "States" in certain programs administered under the CWA. There were two important developments that occurred during the 1980s that led to this potential involvement by Tribes in the WQS program (USEPA 1990): (1) USEPA's Indian Policy; and (2) Section 518 of the 1987 Amendments to the CWA. On January 24, 1983, the U.S. Federal Government established a policy to elevate Tribal governments and support the principle of selfdetermination and local decision making by Indian Tribes. USEPA subsequently adopted its own Indian Policy and Implementation Guidance in November 1984. The policy adopted by USEPA was "to give special consideration to Tribal interests in making Agency policy and to ensure the close involvement of Tribal governments in making decisions and managing the environmental programs affecting Reservation lands." Amendments to the CWA in 1987 (section 518) expanded upon the new Federal Policy to authorize USEPA to treat federally recognized Tribes in a similar manner as states for certain provisions of the Act.

The Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe began the TAS process in 1989 by USEPA for the purposes of the WQS program. The recommended WQS contained in this document are a culmination of that process which has proceeded in stages. In 1990, the PLPT received TAS (now referred to as Program Authorization) status by the USEPA under 40 CFR Parts 35 and 30 to receive funding to develop WQS for its Reservation. The PLPT has developed the regulatory components of its water quality management program through the passage of an ordinance defining how WQS will be implemented. The PLPT received USEPA TAS authority to establish WQS for the Reservation on January 30, 2007.

## Terminology

Water quality standards under the CWA are legal regulations that are necessary to protect certain uses of a water body. In this section, we define some of the common terms associated with WQS and the different components of a standard. A water quality standard has several elements that together define the desired water quality for a portion or an entire water body. Two of the basic elements of a standard are a set of "beneficial designated uses" for the water body and the water quality characteristics or "criteria" needed to restore or maintain those uses. The completed WQS document also contains an "antidegradation" policy. The definitions for these three elements of a water quality standard are provided in the following paragraphs. It is important to note that WQS established for a water body do not need to be achievable at the time the standards are set. One of the purposes of the WQS program is to establish goals for the desired water quality of our Nation's waters. In addition, standards provide a basis for the development of water quality based treatment controls and strategies, and for watershed management.

The first part of a water quality standard is the definition of the beneficial or designated uses, which establish the desired uses for a given portion or all of a specified water body. Each State (including participating Tribes) has the primary responsibility for determining the uses it considers appropriate for all bodies of water within its borders. At a minimum, WQS must provide for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish and wildlife, and for recreation. This is the goal of "fishable/swimmable". Other uses may include public water supply, water supply for agricultural, industrial, commercial, navigation, aquatic life, protection of special habitat, etc. In the next section, beneficial designated uses for Pyramid Lake and the lower Truckee River are listed as part of the WQS recommendations for the Reservation. It is important to note that the USEPA does not recognize waste transport (e.g. discharge of treated sewage) as an appropriate beneficial designated use of a water body, although it is a common use of aquatic systems.

The USEPA differentiates a subset of the designated uses for a water body from the full set of desired uses and calls them "existing uses". This distinction can have important regulatory ramifications. The definition of an existing use from a regulatory standpoint is all uses that have been attained since November 28, 1975, when USEPA promulgated the provisions of the CWA. The distinction between existing uses from the full set of designated uses becomes important if a State (or Tribe) wishes to eliminate a particular use from the WQS for a given water body. If a designated use has not been attained (and it is not likely that it ever will be), there is a procedure in the CWA through which it can be deleted. However, an existing use (attained since November 28, 1975) cannot be deleted regardless of the economic or political implications of that designated use. In the case of those waterbodies included as part of this document, no existing use is being considered for deletion.

The water quality characteristics necessary to protect the set of designated uses defined for a water body are called "criteria", which are the second component of a water quality standard. Typically, it is assumed that if water quality is adequate to protect the most sensitive use then all other uses will be protected. The criteria describing the desired water quality characteristics for a water body may be expressed in either "narrative" or "numeric" form. Narrative criteria are concise declarations typically in the form of "free from" statements that express qualitative conditions. For example, a common narrative criteria included in WQS is that 'waters shall be free from toxic substances in toxic amounts.' The second form for water quality criteria is numeric standards that express actual concentrations of chemicals or other measurable parameters in a water body. An example of this second form of criteria pertinent to the Reservation is that water temperature in the Truckee River during the spring Cui-ui spawning period shall be $<14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. USEPA believes that an effective program will contain both forms of criteria.

The development of a set of numeric criteria to protect a given set of designated uses for a specific water body or group of similar water bodies can be done in several ways. Guidance for appropriate chemical concentrations that should not be exceeded to protect aquatic life and the human uses of a
water body can be found in documents compiled by the USEPA under section 304(a) of the CWA. These documents include two important types of information: (1) scientific data on the effects of pollutants on human health, aquatic life, and recreation; and (2) quantitative concentrations or qualitative assessments of the pollutants in water which will generally ensure water quality adequate to support a particular water use. USEPA periodically issues a summary document containing the latest compilation of guidance on different chemical and physical characteristics of aquatic systems needed to protect designated uses. States and Tribes may utilize the numeric guidance provided by USEPA directly without any further justification, or they may develop site-specific criteria. A site-specific numeric criterion is one that is relevant to a given site (e.g. Pyramid Lake) reflecting local conditions such as the species present or unique water chemistry. In the development of WQS for the lower Truckee River and Pyramid Lake, site-specific numeric criteria was developed for a number of water quality characteristics of primary concern applying USEPA guidance where appropriate.

The final component of a water quality standard is an "antidegradation policy". USEPA sets a minimum requirement for a State's antidegradation policy, which must conserve, maintain, and protect the existing uses of a water body by maintaining high water quality. The idea of improving the water quality of our Nation's water bodies is at the core of the intent of the CWA, and the antidegradation policy requirement of WQS illustrates that intent. According to the USEPA, at a minimum, antidegradation policy should contain the following components: (1) existing instream water uses and level of water quality necessary to protect existing uses shall be maintained and protected; (2) where quality of waters exceeds levels necessary to support the fishable/swimmable designation, that quality shall be maintained unless lowering of quality is necessary to accommodate important economic or social development in the area in which the waters are located; and (3) where high quality waters constitute an outstanding national resource, that water quality shall be maintained and protected (USEPA 1988).

As indicated above, an area where States (and Tribes) have some flexibility in developing an antidegradation policy is when current water quality exceeds the level necessary to protect the designated uses. In the case of high water quality, some degradation of water quality could occur without affecting the uses of the water body. One of the main objectives of an antidegradation policy is to define a process of how degradation of high water quality in systems exceeding the minimum needs for the protection of its uses should be handled. However, antidegradation makes any further loss of water quality of a portion or all of a water body an issue to be settled with public involvement.

## Submission Process

Participation by a Tribe in the WQS program of the CWA begins with an application to the Regional Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency with jurisdiction over the State(s) in which the Tribe's Reservation is located. Section 518 of the CWA stipulates four criteria that a Tribe must meet to participate in the program or in USEPA terminology to receive "program authorization" for the purposes of WQS. These are: (1) the Tribe must be Federally recognized; (2) the Tribe must have a governing body carrying out substantial duties and powers; (3) the Tribe must adequately demonstrate authority to manage and protect water resources within the borders of their Reservation; and (4) the Tribe is expected to be capable in the judgment of the Regional Administrator of carrying out an effective WQS program. When these conditions are met, the Tribe can receive authorization to participate in the WQS program of the CWA as a State.

The first step in the development of WQS is the identification of all water bodies within a State's borders that will require standards. For the PLPT, there are two water bodies on the Reservation that are of primary interest. These are Pyramid Lake and that portion of the lower Truckee River within the exterior boundaries of the PLIR. In addition, all other surface water bodies within the exterior boundaries of the PLIR, including but not limited to ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial streams,
springs, and wetlands are also included in the surface waters of the Reservation requiring some definition of their water quality.

Following the identification of all water bodies requiring standards, States (and Tribes) must designate the beneficial uses for each water body or different portions of the same water body. The States usually designate beneficial uses with a broad classification system to organize the different potential uses of water bodies within their borders. Because there are only two main water bodies on the Reservation, their specific designated uses on the PLIR are defined directly. It is also acknowledged that these two water bodies are linked in terms of both hydrology and water quality, i.e. river loading, as altered by flow, is a source of water quality degradation for Pyramid Lake. Specific beneficial use designations for the Reservation are listed in the next chapter.

The third step in the WQS process is to define criteria (both chemical and otherwise) necessary to support the designated uses of a State's water bodies. For Tribes beginning the standards process, there are three main approaches that can be used for establishing water quality criteria for their Reservations. These are: (1) negotiate with an adjoining State to apply the State's standards to Indian lands; (2) incorporate the standards of an adjoining State as the Tribe's own standards, with or without revision; or (3) independently develop and adopt standards for a Tribe's Reservation that may account for unique site-specific conditions and water body uses. We have utilized portions of both the second and third approaches (depending on the constituent) in the development of standards for Pyramid Lake and the lower Truckee River. In particular, there are currently no standards for Pyramid Lake set by the State of Nevada requiring that water quality criteria for the Lake be developed.

In the development of water quality criteria sufficient to protect designated uses, Tribes may either use recommended values or develop appropriate criteria for the specific water bodies or portion thereof. The most direct source for developing criteria for a water body is to adopt criteria published by USEPA under section 304(a) of the CWA. Those recommended values may also be modified by a Tribe to reflect site-specific conditions. Tribes may also use other scientifically defensible methods to develop appropriate water quality criteria to protect water body uses.

The WQS process also requires States (and Tribes) to develop an antidegradation policy. An antidegradation policy, as previously discussed, is a key element in the CWA directing water quality policy toward improved and high water quality. The Tribe must develop methods to implement antidegradation to ensure the adopted WQS protect the designated uses by maintaining high water quality.

The development of WQS by a Tribe may also require that the Tribe adopt additional policies necessary for the application and implementation of the standards. For example, the PLPT has adopted a "Water Quality" ordinance asserting its authority over the surface waters of their Reservation for the purposes of water quality management and protection.

WQS for a State (or Tribe) are adopted through a process involving public participation in accordance with 40 CFR, Part 25. USEPA does not have a standardized procedure for the adoption of standards by States, but there is a Federal requirement that the process include public hearings and standards must be reviewed every three years ( 40 CFR, Part 131.20). Following public hearings for review of standards, a Tribe in this case, formally adopts the WQS. The legal authority for the Tribe then certifies that the Tribe followed the proper established procedures in adopting the standards package, and the entire package is submitted to the Regional Administrator for USEPA whom has jurisdiction for review. USEPA will review the adopted standards and either approve them or work with the Tribe to eliminate deficiencies if they exist. The WQS then become effective within the jurisdictional boundaries of the Reservation at the point USEPA approves them.

## SECTION II

## PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE TRIBE

## WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

## INTRODUCTION

A water quality standard is a law or regulation which consists of the beneficial use(s) of a waterbody or segment therein, and the water quality criteria which are necessary to protect those uses. WQS also contain an antidegradation policy. In this section we present an antidegradation policy, beneficial uses, and narrative and numeric criteria for the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribal Reservation. Both the narrative and numeric criteria apply to Pyramid Lake and the lower Truckee River while only the narrative criteria apply to intermittent creeks tributary to these waterbodies.

Criteria for Pyramid Lake apply to the entire surface, except in a designated mixing zone (see footnote in Table II. 1 for definition of mixing zone). Two segments of the Truckee River have also been assigned water quality criteria - Reservation boundary to Dead Ox Wash with a control point at Wadsworth (Table II.2) and Dead Ox Wash to Pyramid Lake with a control point at Nixon (Table II.3). For those constituents with criteria which apply to both the Lake and river, but which are not included in the tables described above, numerical criteria are given in Table II.4. (The reader is referred to the accompanying footnotes in these tables for further explanation). Tables II. 5 and II. 6 present USEPA recommended criteria for toxic organic pollutant and toxic metal pollutants, respectively (mercury has been given site-specific criteria).

As discussed elsewhere in this document, standards for Pyramid Lake are based almost entirely on the results of extensive limnological research, and represent the first time standards have been recommended for this waterbody. The standards for the Truckee River come from the current interagency database on river water quality, existing State of Nevada standards, and additional data collected during the 1989-1994 as part of the University of California, Davis - Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe study. Refer to Figure II. 1 for a map of Pyramid Lake, the Truckee River and vicinity.


Figure II. 1 Map of Pyramid Lake and the Truckee River Watershed. The Truckee River system begins at Lake Tahoe and ends at Pyramid Lake. Inflows to the river are regulated by several reservoirs. The Pyramid Lake Paiute Indian Reservation boundary is represented by a dash line around Pyramid Lake and the terminal reach of the Truckee River.

## ANTIDEGRADATION POLICY

Any surface waters of the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe whose quality is better than an applicable beneficial use standard of water quality as of the date when those standards become effective must be maintained in their higher quality. No discharges of waste may be made which will result in lowering the net quality of these waters unless it has been demonstrated to the PLPT that the lower quality is justifiable because of economic or social considerations, or as may be allowed by meeting short-term modification requirements described later in this document in the Implementation section.

The Antidegradation Policy is implemented through the establishment of numeric water quality antidegradation standards, when available, on higher quality surface waters within the PLIR.

The PLPT has not established "requirements to maintain existing higher quality" (RMHQ's) for the lower Truckee River and Pyramid Lake as defined and implemented by the State of Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP). Rather, the PLPT has adopted numeric water quality antidegradation standards for certain parameters (color, chlorides, sodium, sulfate and TDS, e.g.) for the higher quality waters within Tribal jurisdiction on the lower Truckee River. Tribal antidegradation standards are consistent with State of Nevada RMHQ values provided by the February 2003 NAC 445A.189-190, for waters of the lower Truckee River within the exterior boundaries of the PLIR.

Existing beneficial uses shall be protected and the level of water quality necessary to protect existing beneficial uses shall be maintained by an existing higher water quality, if one exists, or by applying appropriate beneficial use standards for the existing use. Existing uses are defined as those attained since November 28, 1975. Where existing water quality is better than the beneficial use standard levels necessary to support propagation of aquatic life, wildlife and recreation in the water, that existing higher level of water quality shall be maintained and protected unless it is found, after full satisfaction of governmental and public participation requirements, that a lower level of water quality is justified to accommodate important economic or social development in the area in which the waters are located. In allowing such degradation of water quality, the PLPT assures that the highest statutory and regulatory requirements shall be achieved for all new and existing point sources and all cost-effective and reasonable best management practices for nonpoint source control. Where better quality waters constitute a water of special ecological significance, the water quality antidegradation standard and beneficial uses of those water bodies shall be maintained and protected to the fullest extent possible at all times.

Like beneficial use standards (See Implementation Chapter, Review Section, Item 31), antidegradation standards can be subject to modification if new scientific data and understanding of ecosystem processes or human health criteria for a given constituent becomes available. This does not preclude reevaluating or examining rationale or methods applied to existing data used to set antidegradation or beneficial use standards. Any modification of an antidegradation standard that may be viewed as a "relaxation" is acceptable if the justification and rationale supporting the standard adjustment is satisfactorily demonstrated to and accepted by the standards setting authority. USEPA approval of any standards change is required before the change becomes effective (40 CFR 131.21).

## BENEFICIAL USES

An effective water quality control plan requires determination of the beneficial water uses which are either existing or are intended to be developed. Along with numerical/narrative criteria and an antidegradation policy, beneficial uses form the basis for the PLPT's water quality standards. Indeed, beneficial uses provide the framework from which the narrative and numeric criteria are built, i.e. the goal of the various criteria is to protect these uses. Section 303 of the Clean Water Act (as amended) defines WQS as both the uses of waters involved and the water quality criteria applied to protect those uses. It is believed that the list of beneficial uses accurately reflects the current and probable future uses which the PLPT currently envisions for Pyramid Lake (PL), the Truckee River (TR), Perennial Streams (PS) to Pyramid Lake, and all other Surface Water Bodies (SWB) within the exterior boundaries of the PLIR. Beneficial uses apply to surface water only and do not include groundwater. Reference to the Truckee River applies to that portion of the River located within the PLIR.

Beneficial uses may be modified in the future consistent with Tribal or EPA recommended water quality criteria guidance.

## Definitions of Beneficial Uses

AQUA - Aquaculture. For the purpose of aquaculture of fish hatchery operations including, but not limited to, propagation, cultivation, maintenance and harvesting of biota used either for human consumption or biodiversity (TR/PL/PS).

COLD - Cold Freshwater Habitat. For the purpose of supporting cold water ecosystems including, but not limited to, preservation and enhancement of aquatic habitats, vegetation, fish and wildlife (including invertebrates). Based on the seasonal occurrence of cold-water tolerance species (TR/PL/PS).

EXAV - Extraordinary Aesthetic Value. For the purpose of preserving the unique aesthetic value of surface waters (TR/PL/PS/SWB).

FRSH - Freshwater Replenishment. For the purpose of increasing instream flows to maintain or improve surface water quality (e.g. reducing TDS) (TR/PL/PS).

GRND - Groundwater Recharge. For the purpose of recharge of groundwater for future extraction, maintenance of water quality, or other purposes (TR/PS).

INAL - Indigenous Aquatic Life. For the purpose of preserving aquatic plant and animal species and biodiversity in both freshwater and inland saline water habitats (TR/PL/PS/SWB).

IRRG - Irrigation. Beneficial uses of water for the purpose of irrigation including, but not limited to, farming, horticulture, range and range vegetation (TR/PS/SWB).

LSWT - Livestock Watering. For the purpose of watering range and farm livestock (TR/PS/SWB).
NATF - Maintenance and restoration of native fish species. For the purpose of promoting the reproduction and survival of native fish species (TR/PL/PS).

PCCU - Primary Contact Ceremonial Use. For the purpose of protecting quality of water specifically for ceremonial, cultural, holistic, religious and traditional purposes for members of the PLPT. These include, but are not limited to, immersion, vaporization, or intentional, accidental ingestion (TR/PL/PS/SWB).

RARE - Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species. For the purpose of supporting habitat necessary for the survival and successful maintenance of plant or animal species established as rare, threatened or endangered (TR/PL/PS).

REC1 - Water Contact Recreation. For the purpose of recreational activities involving body contact with water. These include, but are not limited to, swimming, wading, water skiing, skin and scuba diving, wind surfing, jet skiing, fishing, bathing (TR/PL/PS/SWB).

REC2 - Non-contact Water Recreation. For the purpose of recreational activities involving proximity to water but not normally involving body contact. These include, but are not limited to, picnicking, sunbathing, hiking, beach combing, camping, boating, hunting, sightseeing, and aesthetic enjoyment in conjunction with the above activities (TR/PL/PS/SWB).

RIPH - Riparian Habitat. For the purpose of maintaining and enhancing the growth and survival of riparian vegetation (TR/PS/SWB).

SPFS - Sport fishing. For the purpose of collection of fish, or organisms related to sport fishing, intended for human consumption (TR/PL).

SPWN - Spawning, Development, and Recruitment. For the purpose of supporting high quality aquatic habitat necessary for reproduction and recruitment of fish and wildlife. This includes all life stages of Cui-ui (egg incubation, development, recruitment, and larvae, juvenile, adult migrations) from March through July, all life stages of tahoe suckers (Catostomus tahoensis), and Lahontan Cutthroat Trout whether for rearing, stocking, and/or species recovery purposes. Includes fish rearing in Truckee River for subsequent migration to Pyramid Lake (TR/PL/PS).

WILD - Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat. For the purpose of protection and propagation of wildlife (including fish, birds and other water dependent biota), and supporting wildlife habitat (TR/PL/PS/SWB).

WTLD - Wetland Habitat. For the purpose of protection and propagation of wildlife (including amphibians, fish, birds and other water dependent species), and the protection of plant and wildlife habitat (TR/PL/PS/SWB).

WQEN - Water Quality Enhancement. For the purpose of supporting enhancement or improvement of water quality in a downstream water body (TR/PS).

WSES - Water of Special Ecological Significance. For the purpose of preserving the unique ecological status of Pyramid Lake as one of the few large, deepwater, saline Lakes in the world (PL) and to maintain the existing higher quality of the lower Truckee River (TR).

## NARRATIVE STANDARDS OF WATER QUALITY

These narrative standards apply to Pyramid Lake, the lower Truckee River, and tributaries or wetlands to these water bodies. In addition, these narrative standards apply to all other surface water bodies within the exterior boundaries of the PLIR including, but not limited, to ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial streams, springs, and wetlands.

## Bacteria, Coliform

Waters shall not contain concentrations of coliform bacteria attributable to human wastes.

## Bioaccumulation

Toxic pollutants shall not be discharged as a result of human activities at levels that will bioaccumulate in aquatic resources to levels that are harmful to human health or aquatic life.

## Biostimulatory Substances

Waters shall not contain biostimulatory substances in concentrations that cause aquatic growths to the extent that such growths promote nuisance conditions or adversely affect beneficial uses.

## Chemical Constituents

Waters designated as IRRG or LSWT shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in amounts that adversely affect their beneficial uses for agricultural purposes.

Waters designated as WTLD shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in amounts that adversely affect their beneficial uses for propagation and/or development of sensitive wildlife species.

Waters shall not contain concentrations of chemical constituents in amounts that adversely affect water for any beneficial uses.

## Color

Waters shall be free of coloration producing materials and/or substances that causes nuisance or adversely affects the water for beneficial uses. The natural color of fisheries or other inland surface water resources shall not be impaired.

## Floating Materials

Waters shall not contain floating material, including solids, liquids, foams, and scum, in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect the water for beneficial uses.

## Oil and Grease

Waters shall not contain oils, greases, waxes or other materials in concentrations that result in a visible film or coating on the surface of the water or on objects in the water, that cause nuisance, or that otherwise adversely affect the water for beneficial uses.

## Pesticides

Pesticide and adjuvant concentrations in water and aquatic sediments shall not reach or exceed levels that impair the health or reproductive success of human, animal, plant, or aquatic life.

Pesticides are defined under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Section 2(u) as "any substance or mixture of substances intended for preventing, destroying, repelling, or mitigating any pest".

Pesticides and associated adjuvants shall only be used in a manner consistent with the USEPA approved labeling. To use any registered pesticide in a manner that is inconsistent with the labeling is in violation of FIFRA Section 12 (G).
[Pesticides are defined to include, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, piscicides, rodenticides and other agronomic and agricultural poisons]

## Radioactivity

Radionuclides shall not be present in concentrations which are deleterious to human, plant, animal, aquatic life or which result in the accumulation of radionuclides in the food web to the extent which presents a hazard to human, plant, animal, or aquatic life.

## Sediment and Turbidity

The suspended sediment load and suspended sediment and turbidity concentrations shall not be altered in such a manner as to cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

Waters shall not contain substances in concentrations that result in deposition of material that causes nuisance or that adversely affects the water for beneficial uses.

## Species Composition

Communities and populations of aquatic biota, including invertebrate, vertebrate and plant species, shall not be degraded as a result of point source or nonpoint source discharge. This applies to transient as well as cumulative conditions. Short-term variances from these objectives may be allowed for actions that are being taken to fulfill statutory requirements under Tribal law or the federal Endangered Species Act.

## Taste and Odor

Waters shall not contain taste or odor-producing substances discharged from activities in the watershed in concentrations that impart undesirable tastes or odors to fish or other edible products of aquatic origin, that cause nuisance or that adversely affect the water for beneficial uses. The natural taste and odor of fish used for human consumption shall not be impaired.

## Temperature

The ambient receiving water temperature of all waters shall not be altered by point or nonpoint source inputs unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribal Council and the TIDT that such an alteration in temperature does not adversely affect the water for beneficial uses.

## Toxicity

All waters shall be maintained free of toxic substances which enter the waterbody from human activities in concentrations that are toxic to, or that produce detrimental physiological responses in human, plant, animal, or aquatic life. The concentrations of toxic pollutants in the water column, sediments, or biota shall not adversely affect water for beneficial uses.

Furthermore, if it is determined that a compound of toxic affect is interfering with the beneficial uses of any waterbody on Tribal lands, but that this compound is not identified with a numeric criteria, the PLPT will consult with the USEPA and may, if appropriate, utilize the best science available to develop a numeric limit.

1) All effluents containing materials attributable to the activities of man shall be considered harmful unless acceptable bioassay tests have shown otherwise. In its discretion, the PLPT may require the party responsible for the discharge to perform bioassay tests on the effluent in question.
2) Compliance with this section of these standards will be determined using indicator organisms, population density, growth anomalies, bioassays, or other appropriate methods as specified by the PLPT.
3) At a minimum, the chronic affect on test organisms in the water body receiving the effluent in question shall not be more than that for waters of the same water body that are unaffected by the discharge of pollutant, or, when necessary, for other control water meeting the criteria described in the latest edition of 'Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.'
4) Compliance with the above standards shall be evaluated with a 96-hour bioassay and/or a short-term method for estimating chronic toxicity using methods described in the following documents, or any subsequent revisions approved by USEPA:
(A) EPA/821/R-02-013, Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, Fourth Edition, 2002, (B) EPA/600/4-90-027F, Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, Cincinnati, Ohio, EMSL, Fourth Edition, 1993.

## Table II. 1

## NUMERIC STANDARDS OF WATER QUALITY <br> PYRAMID LAKE $\dagger$, a

Limits in this table apply to the full water column at the deep-water location (Station 96), unless noted otherwise.

| PARAMETER | WATER QUALITY STANDARDS | BENEFICIAL USES b | Footnotes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dissolved Oxygen <br> - 0-20 meters (percent saturation) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Single Value: } \geq 80 \% \\ & \text { A-Avg. }: \geq 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | Aquatic Life, WSES | c |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { - hypolimnion }(>20 \mathrm{~m}-\leq 70 \mathrm{~m}) \\ & \left(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Single Value: $\geq 6.0$ |  | d |
| Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Single Value: $\leq 2$ | Aquatic Life, WSES | e |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clarity }(0-20 \mathrm{~m}) \\ & \left(\mathrm{m}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | A-Avg.: $\leq 0.45$ | PCCU, REC1, EXAV, INAL | f |
| Total Dissolved Solids ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) | A-Avg.: $\leq 5,900$ | Aquatic Life, WSES, WILD | g, p |
| Suspended Solids (0-20 m) ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Single Value: } \leq 20 \\ & \text { A-Avg.: } \leq 5 \end{aligned}$ | Aquatic Life, WSES, EXAV, PCCU, REC1 | h |
| Turbidity (0-20 m) (change in NTU) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Single Value: } \leq 5 \\ & \text { A-Avg.: } \leq 2.5 \end{aligned}$ | Aquatic Life, WSES, EXAV, PCCU, REC1 | h |
| pH | Single Value: $\leq 9.7$ | Aquatic Life | i |
| Fecal Bacteria E. Coli | Geometric Mean $\leq 126$ per 100 ml Single Value: $\leq 235$ CFU | PCCU, REC-1 | j |
| Chlorophyll $a(0-20 \mathrm{~m})$ ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) | Depth Avg.: $\leq 5$ (Apr-Oct) | COLD, WSES, EXAV, PCCU, REC1, SPFS, AQUA, SPWN, RARE | $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{m}$ |
| Dissolved Reactive <br> Phosphorus [DRP] <br> $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{l}^{-1}\right)$ | Depth Avg.: $\leq 95$ (0-20 m) <br> Depth-Avg.: $\leq 115$ (full water column) | Aquatic Life, WSES | $\begin{aligned} & 1, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{q} \\ & \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Phosphorus [TP] } \\ & \left(\mu \mathrm{g} 1^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Depth Avg.: $\leq 120$ ( $0-20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) <br> Depth-Avg.: $\leq 140$ (full water column) | Aquatic Life, WSES | $\begin{aligned} & 1, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{q} \\ & 1, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q} \end{aligned}$ |
| Ammonia (total) $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}^{-1}\right)$ | Depth Avg.: $\leq 15$ (0-20 m) | Aquatic Life, WSES, EXAV | m, q |

PARAMETER

## WATER QUALITY <br> STANDARDS

BENEFICIAL USES $\mathrm{b} \quad$ Footnotes


Note: Look for "footnotes" under the left column, and in the titles/ subtitles of Table II.1.

## Key for Pyramid Lake footnotes:

$\dagger$ All values apply to the full water column at the deep-water location (Station 96), unless noted otherwise.
a Water quality standards apply to the entire surface of Pyramid Lake except in a mixing zone where stream inflow enters the Lake. A mixing zone is defined as that portion of the Lake, influenced by tributary inflow, where total dissolved solids (TDS) is less than $80 \%$ of that measured at mid-Lake (Pyramid Lake Fisheries Station 96) using electrical conductivity as an indicator.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Most restrictive beneficial uses(s). It is assumed that all other beneficial uses will be protected if standards are attained. The term Aquatic Life refers to the following beneficial uses, COLD, SPFS, AQUA, SPWN, RARE, and INAL.
c The term A-Avg. or annual average denotes the mean of monthly volume weighted averages.
d Measured at a control point at a depth of 70 m at the mid-Lake index station. Dissolved oxygen concentration should not be lower than this value during two consecutive oneweek periods. If a concentration less than this value are measured, resampling for this parameter must be conducted within 10 days.
e Maximum allowable increase in water temperature (degrees Celsius) at any depth outside the boundary of a mixing zone. Does not apply to that portion of Pyramid Lake that is directly influenced by the Truckee River discharge provided the water quality criterion for temperature is being attained in the River. Applies only to situations where temperature increases as a result of point or nonpoint source inputs. Does not apply to natural cycles of Lake heating and cooling.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Light extinction coefficient $\left(\mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)$. By definition, the $0.45 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ value is the negative of the actual calculated value, i.e. a value of -0.50 is greater than a value of -0.45 and would exceed the criterion.
g Value comes from Cui-ui Recovery Plan (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1992).
h Does not apply to suspended solids of autochthonous algal origin or precipitated carbonates during natural whiting events.
${ }^{\text {i }}$ Represents approximately a 0.25 unit increase relative to maximum natural conditions.
j USEPA guidance recommends 235 CFU's (Colony forming units) as the sample single maximum for designated beach areas.
k Value not to exceed specified concentration on two consecutive monthly sampling during the period April-October; however, does not include times when Nodularia spumigena contributes greater than or equal to 5 percent of the phytoplankton biomass.
${ }^{1}$ Corrected for arsenic.
m Mean of months means during the period April-October. Samples taken from photic zone waters ( $0-20 \mathrm{~m}$ ), based on a vertical profile of at least two discrete depths.
${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ Summation of nitrate (plus nitrite) and ammonia (all forms).
${ }^{\mathrm{o}}$ Concentration at winter overturn (during complete mixing) at Station 96.
p Mean of monthly means for the entire year. Samples taken in a vertical profile from surface to bottom at Station 96.
q See Section III for scientific justification.

Table II. 2

## NUMERIC STANDARDS OF WATER QUALITY TRUCKEE RIVER (Control Point at Wadsworth Gage)

The limits in this table apply from southern boundary of Tribal lands in the vicinity of Wadsworth to Dead Ox.

|  | WATER QUALITY |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PARAMETER | STANDARDS | BENEFICIAL USESa | Footnotes |


| Alkalinity ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) | Less than $25 \%$ change from natural conditions | Aquatic Life, IRRG, LSWT | $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{f}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Color (change in PCU) | Single Value: $\leq 10$ above natural conditions | Aquatic Life, PCCU, REC-1, REC-2 | $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chlorides } \\ & \left(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Single Value: } \leq 28 \\ & \text { A-Avg.: } \leq 20 \end{aligned}$ | Aquatic Life, WSES | d, e |
| Fecal Bacteria <br> E. Coli <br> (No. /100 ml) | Annual Geometric Mean $\leq 126$ Single Value: $\leq 410$ | PCCU, REC-1 | f |
| Dissolved Oxygen - water ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) | Single Value: <br> Nov-Jun: $\geq 6.0$ <br> Jul-Oct: $\geq 5.0$ | Aquatic Life, WSES | f |
| $\mathrm{pH}$ <br> (Units) | Single Value: 6.5-9.0 Change in $\mathrm{pH}: 0.5$ | Aquatic Life, PCCU, REC-1, REC-2, WILD | f |
| Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{P} 1^{-1}$ ) | A-Avg.: $\leq 0.05$ | Aquatic Life, PCCU, REC-1, REC-2 | e, g, k |
| Nitrogen Species ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) | TN A-Avg.: $\leq 0.75$ <br> TN Single Value: $\leq 1.2$ <br> Nitrate Single Value: $<2.0$ <br> Nitrite Single Value: $<0.04$ <br> Total ammonia (see Table II.4) | Aquatic Life, PCCU, REC-1, REC-2, EXAV | k |
| Suspended Solids ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) | A-Avg.: $\leq 25$ <br> Single Value: flow dependent $0-<1000 \mathrm{cfs}: \leq 50$ $>1000 \mathrm{cfs}: \leq 100$ | Aquatic Life, WSES | d |
| Sulfate $\left(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Single Value: } \leq 46 \\ & \text { A-Avg.: } \leq 39 \end{aligned}$ | Aquatic Life, WSES | d |
| Sodium (SAR) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Single Value: } \leq 2.0 \\ & \text { A-Avg.: } \leq 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | IRRG, WSES | d |


| PARAMETER | WATER QUALITY STANDARDS | BENEFICIAL USES ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Footnotes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temperature ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Nov-Mar: $\leq 13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Aquatic Life | h |
|  | Apr-Jun: $\leq 14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | h |
|  | Jul-Oct: $\leq 21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | i, k |
| Change in Temperature | Single Value: $\leq 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Aquatic Life | f |
| Total Dissolved Solids ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) | Single Value: $\leq 310$ <br> A-Avg.: $\leq 245$ | FRSH, Aquatic Life, WES | d, j |
| Turbidity (NTU) | Single Value: $\leq 10$ | Aquatic Life | f |

Note: Look for "footnotes" under the left column, or in the subtitles of Table II.2.

## Key for Truckee River footnotes (Table II.2):

a Most restrictive beneficial uses(s). It is assumed that all other beneficial uses will be protected if standards are attained. The term Aquatic Life refers to the following beneficial uses, COLD, SPFS, AQUA, SPWN, RARE, and INAL.
b Natural conditions defined for this section of river by historical database where it exists .
c PCU refers to Platinum Cobalt Units.
d PLPT adopted antidegradation values required to maintain existing higher quality, consistent with RMHQ values for the State of Nevada - Division of Environmental Protection, for the Wadsworth Gage control point (see February 2003 NAC 445A.189).
e The term A-Avg. denotes the mean of monthly volume weighted averages, unless otherwise indicated.
f Consistent with WQS Beneficial Use values for the State of Nevada (February 2003 NAC 445A.189).
g Phosphorus criteria apply to dissolved-P only and not total-P.
h To provide for propagation of Cui-ui and early spawning Lahontan cutthroat trout (NovMar), and spring passage of Lahontan cutthroat trout when flows are adequate to induce spawning runs (Apr-June). Expressed in terms of maximum daily temperature over a 24hour period.
${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ Temperature desired for the protection of Lahontan cutthroat trout juveniles and Cui-ui larvae and juveniles. Value for Jul-Oct expressed in terms of average daily temperature over a 24 -hr period.
j For protection of aquatic life in Pyramid Lake.
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ See Section III for further details on scientific justification.

Table II. 3

## NUMERIC STANDARDS OF WATER QUALITY <br> TRUCKEE RIVER (Control Point at Nixon Bridge)

The limits in this table apply from Dead Ox to Pyramid Lake.

| PARAMETER | WATER QUALITY STANDARDS | BENEFICIAL USES ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Footnotes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temperature <br> ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov-Mar: } \quad \leq 13^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { Apr-Jun: } \quad \leq 14^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & \text { Jul-Oct: Avg: } 21^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Aquatic Life | h <br> h <br> i, k |
| Change in Temperature | Single Value: $\leq 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Aquatic Life | f |
| Total Dissolved Solids ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) | A-Avg.: $\leq 415$ | FRSH, Aquatic Life, WSES | d, j |
| Turbidity <br> (NTU) | Single Value: $\leq 10$ | Aquatic Life | f |

Note: Look for "footnotes" under the left column, or in the subtitles of Table II.3.

## Key for Truckee River footnotes (Table II.3):

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Most restrictive beneficial uses(s). It is assumed that all other beneficial uses will be protected if standards are attained. The term Aquatic Life refers to the following beneficial uses, COLD, SPFS, AQUA, SPWN, RARE, and INAL.
b Natural conditions defined for this section of river by historical database where it exists.
c PCU refers to Platinum Cobalt Units.
d PLPT adopted antidegradation values required to maintain existing higher quality, consistent with RMHQ values for the State of Nevada - Division of Environmental Protection, for the Pyramid Lake control point (see February 2003 NAC 445A.190).
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ The term A-Avg. denotes the mean of monthly volume weighted averages, unless otherwise indicated.
f Consistent with WQS Beneficial Use values for the State of Nevada (February 2003 NAC 445A.190).
g Phosphorus criteria apply to dissolved-P only and not total-P.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ To provide for propagation of Cui-ui and early spawning Lahontan cutthroat trout (NovMar), and spring passage of Lahontan cutthroat trout when flows are adequate to induce spawning runs (Apr-June). Expressed in terms of maximum daily temperature.
${ }^{i}$ Temperature desired for the protection of Lahontan cutthroat trout juveniles and Cui-ui larvae and juveniles. Value for Jul-Oct expressed in terms of average daily temperature over a 24 -hr period.
j For protection of aquatic life in Pyramid Lake.
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ See Section III for further details on scientific justification.

Table II. 4
NUMERIC STANDARDS OF WATER QUALITY

## Additional Standards Which Apply to Either Pyramid Lake or the Truckee River ${ }^{\dagger}$

[Values expressed as $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$ ]

| Substance | Aquatic Life ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | IRRG | LSWT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aluminum | $87(\mathrm{CCC}) / 750$ (CMC) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5,000 c | 5,000 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Ammonia | Refer to footnote ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | --- | --- |
| Boron | --- | 750 e | 5,000 e |
| Chlorine | 11/19 e | --- | --- |
| Cobalt | --- | $50^{\text {c }}$ | 5,000 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Cyanide | 5.2/22 e | --- | --- |
| Fluoride | --- | 1,000 c | 2,000 c |
| Iron | $1,000{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 5,000 c | --- |
| Manganese | --- | $200{ }^{\text {c }}$ | --- |
| Molybdenum | 19 f | $10^{\text {c }}$ | --- |
| Sulfide- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | $2 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}$ | --- | --- |
| Nitrite | 40 f | --- | --- |
| Vanadium | --- | $100{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $100^{\text {c }}$ |

## Key for Additional Numeric Standards footnotes (Table II.4):

$\dagger$ For each constituent, lowest concentration which applies to an appropriate beneficial use applies.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The term Aquatic Life refers to the following beneficial uses, AQUA, COLD, INAL, RARE, SPFS and SPWN.
b For pH between 6.5 and 9.0; USEPA National Ambient Water Quality Criteria.
c National Academy of Sciences - 1972.
d USEPA guidance for ammonia toxicity has been set forth in the 1999 updated Federal Register Vol. 64, No. 245 and the technical document EPA-822-R-99-014 and associated documentation. Values are expressed as total ammonia nitrogen. The PLPT criterion for ammonia is based directly on this guidance given below:
i) The one-hour average concentration of total ammonia- $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ will not exceed, more than once every three years on the average, the CMC (acute criterion) calculated using the following equations.

Where salmonid fish are present:

$$
\mathrm{CMC}=\quad\left(0.275 /\left(1+10^{7.204-\mathrm{pH}}\right)\right)+(39.0 /(1+10 \mathrm{pH}-7.204))
$$

ii) The thirty-day average concentration of total ammonia-N $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ will not exceed, more than once every three years on the average, the CCC (chronic criterion) ) calculated using the following equations.

When fish early life stages are present:

$$
\mathrm{CCC}=\left[\frac{0.0577}{1+10^{7.688-\mathrm{pH}}}+\frac{2.487}{1+10^{\mathrm{pH}-7.688}}\right] \times \operatorname{MIN}\left(2.85,1.45^{\times} 10^{0.028 \times(25-\mathrm{T})}\right)
$$

When fish early life stages are absent:

$$
\mathrm{CCC}=\left[-_{1}^{0.0577}+10^{7 . \overline{688-\mathrm{pH}}}+\frac{2.487}{1+10^{\mathrm{pH}-7.688}}\right] \times 1.45^{\times} 10^{0.028 \times(25-\mathrm{MAX}(\mathrm{~T}, 7))}
$$

Presence of Early Life Stages: The months of April to July are the critical time period for Cui-ui and LCT during which "early life" stages can be present in the lower Truckee River to the Pyramid Lake delta. Higher river flows and turbidity are key factors to when and how Pyramid Lake fish respond during a spawning event. Cui-ui fry will migrate back to Pyramid Lake by the end of July. LCT fry can stay in the river for longer periods of time before returning to the Lake. Other Pyramid Lake fish species, such as Tahoe suckers (Catostomus tahoensis), Speckled dace (Rhinichthys osculus), and Lahontan redsides (Richardsonius egregious), spawn in the lower Truckee during the months of May and June.
iii) In addition, the highest four-day average within the 30-day period will not exceed 2.5 times the CCC.
iv) Measured river pH at Wadsworth and Nixon will be used to calculate CMC and CCC values for those locations in the river. However, since the transition area in the vicinity of the Truckee River delta is ecologically important to both spawning Cui-ui and LCT, and also to fry migrating downstream to the Lake, the potential for ammonia toxicity in this critical region will also be assessed by applying the higher Pyramid Lake $\mathrm{pH}(9.0-9.5)$ to the CMC and CCC values calculated from data collected at the Nixon monitoring site. This will not be done for samples taken at Wadsworth. Un-ionized ammonia is the more toxic form of ammonia. The proportion of potentially toxic ammonia increases as pH increases. A rise in pH as the Truckee River ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.75-8.5$ ) enters Pyramid Lake ( $\mathrm{pH} 9.0-9.5$ ) could result in a rapid increase in the fraction of potentially toxic ammonia in the water column. Should CMC and CCC values for total ammonia be exceeded, based on these calculations, direct monitoring will be conducted in the Lake in the vicinity of the Truckee River inflow to determine if standards are being exceeded. Exceedance of CMC or CCC values based on calculations using Pyramid Lake pH , will not be considered a violation by itself. Rather, it will provide the basis for sampling in the Lake's delta region to directly assess potential ammonia toxicity.
v) The CCC and CMC values also apply to Pyramid Lake. Note that USEPA guidance addresses the calculation of ammonia toxicity up to a pH of 9.0 for the CMC values. This will be taken as representative of Pyramid Lake despite the fact that pH in the Lake can reach 9.5. Additional calculations of ammonia toxicity up to pH 10.0 were provided by USEPA for the CCC values.

The following tables represent ammonia toxicity at pH 9.0 taken from the "1999 Update of Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia (EPA-822-R-014, December 1999; pages 86-88):
pH Dependent Values of the CMC (Acute Criterion)

| CMC, total ammonia nitrogen (in mg N/L) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pH | Salmonids <br> Present | Salmonids <br> Absent |
| 6.5 | 32.6 | 48.8 |
| 6.6 | 31.3 | 46.8 |
| 6.7 | 29.8 | 44.6 |
| 6.8 | 28.1 | 42.0 |
| 6.9 | 26.2 | 39.1 |
| 7.0 | 24.1 | 36.1 |
| 7.1 | 22.0 | 32.8 |
| 7.2 | 19.7 | 29.5 |
| 7.3 | 17.5 | 26.2 |
| 7.4 | 15.4 | 23.0 |
| 7.5 | 13.3 | 19.9 |
| 7.6 | 11.4 | 17.0 |
| 7.7 | 9.65 | 14.4 |
| 7.8 | 8.11 | 12.0 |
| 7.9 | 6.77 | 10.1 |
| 8.0 | 5.62 | 8.40 |
| 8.1 | 4.64 | 6.95 |
| 8.2 | 3.83 | 5.72 |
| 8.3 | 3.15 | 4.71 |
| 8.4 | 2.59 | 3.88 |
| 8.5 | 2.14 | 3.20 |
| 8.6 | 1.77 | 2.65 |
| 8.7 | 1.47 | 2.20 |
| 8.8 | 1.23 | 1.84 |
| 8.9 | 1.04 | 1.56 |
| 9.0 | 0.885 | 1.32 |


| Temperature and pH Dependent Values of the CCC (Chronic Criterion) for Fish Early Life Stages Present, mg N/L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ratur |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 30 |
| 7.0 | 5.91 | 5.91 | 5.37 | 4.72 | 4.15 | 3.65 | 3.21 | 2.82 | 2.48 | 2.18 |
| 7.1 | 5.67 | 5.67 | 5.15 | 4.53 | 3.98 | 3.50 | 3.08 | 2.70 | 2.38 | 2.09 |
| 7.2 | 5.39 | 5.39 | 4.90 | 4.31 | 3.78 | 3.33 | 2.92 | 2.57 | 2.26 | 1.99 |
| 7.3 | 5.08 | 5.08 | 4.61 | 4.06 | 3.57 | 3.13 | 2.76 | 2.42 | 2.13 | 1.87 |
| 7.4 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 4.30 | 3.78 | 3.32 | 2.92 | 2.57 | 2.26 | 1.98 | 1.74 |
| 7.5 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 3.97 | 3.49 | 3.06 | 2.69 | 2.37 | 2.08 | 1.83 | 1.61 |
| 7.6 | 3.98 | 3.98 | 3.61 | 3.18 | 2.79 | 2.45 | 2.16 | 1.90 | 1.67 | 1.47 |
| 7.7 | 3.58 | 3.58 | 3.25 | 2.86 | 2.51 | 2.21 | 1.94 | 1.71 | 1.50 | 1.32 |
| 7.8 | 3.18 | 3.18 | 2.89 | 2.54 | 2.23 | 1.96 | 1.73 | 1.52 | 1.33 | 1.17 |
| 7.9 | 2.80 | 2.80 | 2.54 | 2.24 | 1.96 | 1.73 | 1.52 | 1.33 | 1.17 | 1.03 |
| 8.0 | 2.43 | 2.43 | 2.21 | 1.94 | 1.71 | 1.50 | 1.32 | 1.16 | 1.02 | 0.897 |
| 8.1 | 2.10 | 2.10 | 1.91 | 1.68 | 1.47 | 1.29 | 1.14 | 1.00 | 0.879 | 0.773 |
| 8.2 | 1.79 | 1.79 | 1.63 | 1.43 | 1.26 | 1.11 | 0.973 | 0.855 | 0.752 | 0.661 |
| 8.3 | 1.52 | 1.52 | 1.39 | 1.22 | 1.07 | 0.941 | 0.827 | 0.727 | 0.639 | 0.562 |
| 8.4 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 1.17 | 1.03 | 0.906 | 0.796 | 0.700 | 0.615 | 0.541 | 0.475 |
| 8.5 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 0.990 | 0.870 | 0.765 | 0.672 | 0.591 | 0.520 | 0.457 | 0.401 |
| 8.6 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.836 | 0.735 | 0.646 | 0.568 | 0.499 | 0.439 | 0.386 | 0.339 |
| 8.7 | 0.778 | 0.778 | 0.707 | 0.622 | 0.547 | 0.480 | 0.422 | 0.371 | 0.326 | 0.287 |
| 8.8 | 0.661 | 0.661 | 0.601 | 0.528 | 0.464 | 0.408 | 0.359 | 0.315 | 0.277 | 0.244 |
| 8.9 | 0.565 | 0.565 | 0.513 | 0.451 | 0.397 | 0.349 | 0.306 | 0.269 | 0.237 | 0.208 |
| 9.0 | 0.486 | 0.486 | 0.442 | 0.389 | 0.342 | 0.300 | 0.264 | 0.232 | 0.204 | 0.179 |
| 9.1 | 0.420 | 0.420 | 0.380 | 0.340 | 0.300 | 0.260 | 0.230 | 0.200 | 0.177 | 0.156 |
| 9.2 | 0.370 | 0.370 | 0.340 | 0.300 | 0.260 | 0.230 | 0.201 | 0.177 | 0.156 | 0.137 |
| 9.3 | 0.330 | 0.330 | 0.300 | 0.260 | 0.230 | 0.203 | 0.179 | 0.157 | 0.138 | 0.121 |
| 9.4 | 0.300 | 0.300 | 0.270 | 0.240 | 0.208 | 0.183 | 0.161 | 0.141 | 0.124 | 0.109 |
| 9.5 | 0.270 | 0.270 | 0.245 | 0.215 | 0.189 | 0.166 | 0.146 | 0.129 | 0.113 | 0.099 |
| 9.6 | 0.248 | 0.248 | 0.226 | 0.198 | 0.174 | 0.153 | 0.135 | 0.118 | 0.104 | 0.091 |
| 9.7 | 0.231 | 0.231 | 0.210 | 0.185 | 0.162 | 0.143 | 0.125 | 0.110 | 0.097 | 0.085 |
| 9.8 | 0.218 | 0.218 | 0.198 | 0.174 | 0.153 | 0.134 | 0.118 | 0.104 | 0.091 | 0.080 |
| 9.9 | 0.207 | 0.207 | 0.188 | 0.165 | 0.145 | 0.128 | 0.112 | 0.099 | 0.087 | 0.076 |
| 10.0 | 0.198 | 0.198 | 0.180 | 0.158 | 0.139 | 0.122 | 0.107 | 0.094 | 0.083 | 0.073 |


| Temperature and pH Dependent Values of the CCC (Chronic Criterion) for Fish Early Life Stages Absent, mg N/L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | mperatu |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0-7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15* | 16* |
| 7.0 | 9.60 | 9.00 | 8.43 | 7.91 | 7.41 | 6.95 | 6.52 | 6.11 | 5.73 | 5.37 |
| 7.1 | 9.20 | 8.63 | 8.09 | 7.58 | 7.11 | 6.67 | 6.25 | 5.86 | 5.49 | 5.15 |
| 7.2 | 8.75 | 8.20 | 7.69 | 7.21 | 6.76 | 6.34 | 5.94 | 5.57 | 5.22 | 4.90 |
| 7.3 | 8.24 | 7.73 | 7.25 | 6.79 | 6.37 | 5.97 | 5.60 | 5.25 | 4.92 | 4.61 |
| 7.4 | 7.69 | 7.21 | 6.76 | 6.33 | 5.94 | 5.57 | 5.22 | 4.89 | 4.59 | 4.30 |
| 7.5 | 7.09 | 6.64 | 6.23 | 5.84 | 5.48 | 5.13 | 4.81 | 4.51 | 4.23 | 3.97 |
| 7.6 | 6.46 | 6.05 | 5.67 | 5.32 | 4.99 | 4.68 | 4.38 | 4.11 | 3.85 | 3.61 |
| 7.7 | 5.51 | 5.45 | 5.11 | 4.79 | 4.49 | 4.21 | 3.95 | 3.70 | 3.47 | 3.25 |
| 7.8 | 5.17 | 4.84 | 4.54 | 4.26 | 3.99 | 3.74 | 3.51 | 3.29 | 3.09 | 2.89 |
| 7.9 | 4.54 | 4.26 | 3.99 | 3.74 | 3.51 | 3.29 | 3.09 | 2.89 | 2.71 | 2.51 |
| 8.0 | 3.95 | 3.70 | 3.47 | 3.26 | 3.05 | 2.86 | 2.68 | 2.52 | 2.36 | 2.21 |
| 8.1 | 3.41 | 3.19 | 2.99 | 2.81 | 2.63 | 2.47 | 2.31 | 2.17 | 2.03 | 1.91 |
| 8.2 | 2.91 | 2.73 | 2.56 | 2.40 | 2.25 | 2.11 | 1.98 | 1.85 | 1.74 | 1.63 |
| 8.3 | 2.47 | 2.32 | 2.18 | 2.04 | 1.91 | 1.79 | 1.68 | 1.58 | 1.48 | 1.39 |
| 8.4 | 2.09 | 1.96 | 1.84 | 1.73 | 1.62 | 1.52 | 1.42 | 1.33 | 1.25 | 1.17 |
| 8.5 | 1.77 | 1.66 | 1.55 | 1.46 | 1.37 | 1.28 | 1.20 | 1.13 | 1.06 | 0.990 |
| 8.6 | 1.49 | 1.40 | 1.31 | 1.23 | 1.15 | 1.08 | 1.01 | 0.951 | 0.892 | 0.836 |
| 8.7 | 1.26 | 1.18 | 1.11 | 1.04 | 0.976 | 0.915 | 0.858 | 0.805 | 0.754 | 0.707 |
| 8.8 | 1.07 | 1.01 | 0.944 | 0.885 | 0.829 | 0.778 | 0.729 | 0.684 | 0.641 | 0.601 |
| 8.9 | 0.917 | 0.860 | 0.806 | 0.756 | 0.709 | 0.664 | 0.623 | 0.584 | 0.548 | 0.513 |
| 9.0 | 0.790 | 0.740 | 0.694 | 0.650 | 0.610 | 0.572 | 0.536 | 0.503 | 0.471 | 0.442 |
| 9.1 | 0.690 | 0.640 | 0.600 | 0.570 | 0.530 | 0.500 | 0.470 | 0.440 | 0.410 | 0.380 |
| 9.2 | 0.600 | 0.560 | 0.530 | 0.500 | 0.470 | 0.440 | 0.410 | 0.380 | 0.360 | 0.340 |
| 9.3 | 0.540 | 0.500 | 0.470 | 0.440 | 0.410 | 0.390 | 0.360 | 0.340 | 0.320 | 0.300 |
| 9.4 | 0.480 | 0.450 | 0.420 | 0.400 | 0.370 | 0.350 | 0.330 | 0.310 | 0.290 | 0.270 |
| 9.5 | 0.440 | 0.410 | 0.380 | 0.360 | 0.340 | 0.320 | 0.300 | 0.280 | 0.260 | 0.245 |
| 9.6 | 0.400 | 0.380 | 0.350 | 0.330 | 0.310 | 0.290 | 0.270 | 0.257 | 0.241 | 0.226 |
| 9.7 | 0.380 | 0.350 | 0.330 | 0.310 | 0.290 | 0.272 | 0.255 | 0.239 | 0.224 | 0.210 |
| 9.8 | 0.350 | 0.330 | 0.310 | 0.291 | 0.273 | 0.256 | 0.240 | 0.225 | 0.211 | 0.198 |
| 9.9 | 0.336 | 0.315 | 0.295 | 0.277 | 0.259 | 0.243 | 0.228 | 0.214 | 0.200 | 0.188 |
| 10.0 | 0.322 | 0.301 | 0.283 | 0.265 | 0.248 | 0.233 | 0.218 | 0.205 | 0.192 | 0.180 |

[^0]Key for Additional Numeric Standards footnotes (Table II.4):
e USEPA Quality Criteria for Water [Gold Book] - May 1986.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Consistent with existing State of Nevada water quality standards for the Truckee River at Wadsworth Gage and Pyramid Lake control points. Contained in NAC 445A. 189 and NAC445A.190, respectively.
g For Pyramid Lake, this value does not apply during years when high freshwater discharge to Lake results in a condition of temporary meromixis. Applies to water above a depth of 10 m off the bottom in Pyramid Lake.

## Table II. 5

NUMERIC STANDARDS OF WATER QUALITY

## Pyramid Lake Reservation Surface Waters <br> Toxic Organic Pollutants ${ }^{\dagger}$

|  | FRESH WATER AQUATIC LIFE |  | HUMAN HEALTH CRITERIA ${ }^{¥}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Criterion Continuous Concentration (CCC) ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | Criterion Maximum Concentration (CMC) ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | Fish Consumption (units per liter) |
| Substance |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | -- | -- | $2700 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Acrolein | -- | -- | $780 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Acrylontrile | -- | -- | $0.66 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Aldrin | -- | 3.0 | $0.00014 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Anthracene | -- | -- | $110000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Benzene | -- | -- | $71 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Benzidine | -- | -- | $0.00054 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Benzo (a) Anthracene | -- | -- | $0.049 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Benzo (a) Pyrene | -- | -- | $0.049 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Benzo (b) Fluoranthene | -- | -- | $0.049 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Benzo (k) Fluoranthene | -- | -- | $0.049 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| alpha - BHC | -- | -- | $0.013 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| beta-BHC | -- | -- | $0.046 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| gamma - BHC (Lindane) | -- | 0.95 | $0.063 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Bromoform | -- | -- | $360 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Butylbenzyl Phthalate | -- | -- | $5200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | -- | -- | $4.4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Chlordane | 0.0043 | 2.4 | $0.0022 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Chlorobenzene | -- | -- | $21000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | -- | -- | 4300 mg |
| Chlorodibromomethane | -- | -- | $34 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Chloroethyl Ether (BIS-2) | -- | -- | $1.4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Chloroform | -- | -- | $470 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Chloroisopropyl Ether (BIS-2) | -- | -- | -- |
| 2-Chlorophenol | -- | -- | $400 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Chrysene | -- | -- | $0.049 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 4-4' - DDT | 0.001 | 1.1 | $0.00059 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 4-4' - DDE | -- | -- | $0.00059 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 4-4' - DDD | -- | -- | $0.00084 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Di-n-butyl Phthalate | -- | -- | $12000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Dibenzo (a,h) Anthracene | -- | -- | $0.049 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 1,2 - Dichlorobenzene | -- | -- | $17000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 1,3 - Dichlorobenzene | -- | -- | 2600 нg |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | -- | -- | $2600 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 3,3' - Dichlorobenzidine | -- | -- | $0.077 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Dichlorobromomethane | -- | -- | $46 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 1,2 - Dichloroethane | -- | -- | $99 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | -- | -- | $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 2,4- Dichlorophenol | -- | -- | $790 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | -- | -- | $39 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene | -- | -- | $1700 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Dieldrin | 0.056 | 0.24 | $0.00014 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Diethyl Phthalate | -- | -- | $120000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Dimethyl Phthalate | -- | -- | $2900000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |


| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | -- | -- | $2300 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | -- | -- | $9.1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | -- | -- | $14000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD) | -- | -- | 0.000014 ng |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine | -- | -- | $0.54 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| alpha - Endosulfan | 0.056 | 0.22 | $240 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| beta - Endosulfan | 0.056 | 0.22 | $240 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Endosulfan Sulfate | -- | -- | $240 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Endrin | 0.036 | 0.086 | $0.81 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Endrin Aldehyde | -- | -- | $0.81 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Ethylbenzene | -- | -- | $29000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Ethylhexyl Phthalate (BIS-2) | -- | -- | $5.9 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Fluoranthene | -- | -- | $370 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Fluorene | -- | -- | $14000 \mu \mathrm{~g} 1$ |
| Heptachlor | 0.0038 | 0.52 | $0.00021 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Heptachlor Epoxide | 0.0038 | 0.52 | $0.00011 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Hexachloroethane | -- | -- | $8.9 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Hexachlorobenzene | -- | -- | $0.00077 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | -- | -- | $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | -- | -- | $17000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Indeno (1,2,3-cd) Pyrene | -- | -- | $0.049 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Isophrone | -- | -- | $2600 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Methyl Bromide | -- | -- | $4000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Methyl Chloride | -- | -- | a |
| Methylene Chloride | -- | -- | $1600 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 2 - Methyl-4,6-Dinitrophenol | -- | -- | $765 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Nitrobenzene | -- | -- | $1900 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Nitrosodimethylamine N | -- | -- | $8.1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| N- Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine | -- | -- | $1.4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Nitrosodiphenylamine N | -- | -- | $16 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| PCB-1242 | 0.014 | -- | 0.17 ng |
| PCB-1254 | 0.014 | -- | 0.17 ng |
| PCB-1221 | 0.014 | -- | 0.17 ng |
| PCB-1232 | 0.014 | -- | 0.17 ng |
| PCB-1248 | 0.014 | -- | 0.17 ng |
| PCB-1260 | 0.014 | -- | 0.17 ng |
| PCB-1016 | 0.014 | -- | 0.17 ng |
| Pentachlorophenol | $\mathrm{e}(1.005(\mathrm{pH})-5.134)$ | $\mathrm{e}(1.005(\mathrm{pH})-4.869)$ | $8.2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Phenol | -- | -- | -- |
| Pyrene | -- | -- | $11000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Tetrachloroethane 1,1,2,2 | -- | -- | $11 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Tetrachloroethylene | -- | -- | $8.85 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Toluene | -- | -- | $200000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 1,2-Trans-Dichloroethylene | -- | -- | $140000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Toxphene | 0.0002 | 0.73 | $0.00075 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | -- | -- | a |
| 1,2,4, - Trichlorobenzene | -- | -- | $940 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 1,1,2 - Trichloroethane | -- | -- | $42 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Trichloroethylene | -- | -- | $81 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 2,4,6 - Trichlorophenol | -- | -- | $6.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Vinyl Chloride | -- | -- | $525 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |

Key for footnotes - Priority Pollutant Organics:
${ }^{\dagger}$ Taken from Federal Register Vol. 63, No. 237, Thursday, December 10, 1998.
${ }^{¥}$ It is assumed that for both the Truckee River and Pyramid Lake only fish (no water) will be consumed.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ USEPA not promulgating human health criteria for this contaminant. Should be addressed in NPDES permit actions using narrative criteria for toxics.

Table II. 6

# NUMERIC STANDARDS OF WATER QUALITY <br> Pyramid Lake Reservation Surface Waters 

Toxic Metal Pollutants ${ }^{\dagger}$

|  | FRESH WATER AQUATIC LIFE |  | HUMAN HEALTH CRITERIA ${ }^{¥}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Criterion Continuous Concentration <br> (CCC) <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | Criterion Maximum Concentration <br> (CMC) ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | Fish Consumption (units per liter) |
| Antimony | --- | --- | $4300 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Arsenic | 150 | 340 | -- |
| Beryllium | -- | --- | a |
| Cadmium | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $\left.)]+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\} \times($ CF $){ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | exp $\left\{\mathrm{ma}_{\mathrm{a}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $\left.)]+\mathrm{b}_{3}\right\} \times($ CF $){ }^{\text {b }}$ | -- |
| Chromium (VI) | 11 | 16 | a |
| Chromium (III) | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $)]+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{S}^{\text {x (CF) }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{ma}_{\mathrm{a}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $\left.)]+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{a}}\right\} \times$ ( CF$)^{\text {c }}$ | a |
| Copper | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $\left.)]+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\} \times(\mathrm{CF}){ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $\left.)]+\mathrm{b}_{a}\right\} \times(\mathrm{CF}){ }^{\text {c }}$ | -- |
| Lead | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $\left.)]+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\} \times(\mathrm{CF}){ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $\left.)]+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{a}}\right\} \times(\mathrm{CF}){ }^{\text {c }}$ | a |
| Mercury | $0.77^{\text {d }}$ | $1.4{ }^{\text {d }}$ | g |
| Nickel | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $\left.)]+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\} \times(\text { CFF })^{\text {c }}$ | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{ma}_{\mathrm{a}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $\left.)]+\mathrm{b}_{a}\right\} \times$ (CF) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $4600 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Selenium | $5{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $20^{\text {f }}$ | 11,000 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ |
| Silver | -- | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{ma}_{\mathrm{a}}[\ln (\right.$ hardness $\left.)]+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{a}}\right\} \times(\mathrm{CF})^{\text {c }}$ | -- |
| Thallium | -- | -- | $6.3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ |
| Zinc | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}[\ln (\text { hardness })]^{\left.+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}}\right\}^{\text {( }} \text { (CF) }}{ }^{\text {c }}\right.$ | $\exp \left\{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}}[\ln (\text { hardness })]+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{a}}\right\}^{\text {x }}$ (CF) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -- |
|  | hardness    expressed as $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ as <br> g $=$ grams    <br> mg $=$ milligrams    <br> $\mu \mathrm{g}$ $=$ micrograms    <br> ng $=$ nanograms    <br> $\mu \mathrm{g} / 1$ $=$ micrograms pe    |  |  |

## Key for footnotes - Priority Pollutant Metals:

$\dagger$ Based on recommendations by the USEPA as published in the Federal Register Vol. 63, No 237, Thursday, December 10, 1998 - National Recommended Water Quality Criteria. Expressed in terms of dissolved metals unless noted.
${ }^{¥}$ It is assumed that for both the Truckee River and Pyramid Lake only fish (no water) will be consumed.
a USEPA is not promulgating human health criteria for this contaminant. Should be addressed in NPDES permit actions using narrative criteria for toxics.
b
Based on recommendations by the USEPA as published in the Federal Register Vol. 66, No 71, April 12, 2001 - Notice of Availability of 2001 Update: Aquatic Life Criterion Document for Cadmium.
${ }^{c}$ Values for coefficients $m$ and $b$ as well as for CF above, are provide below in Appendix A. CF refers to "conversion factor" which expresses dissolved concentrations in terms of total recoverable concentrations. Taken directly from USEPA guidance contained in reference in footnote $\dagger$ above.
${ }^{d}$ Derived for data from inorganic Hg (II), but is applied here to total mercury. If a substantial portion of the mercury in the water column is methylmercury, this criterion will probably be under protective. This criterion does not account for uptake and bioaccumulation via the food chain.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Express as total recoverable metal. Conversion factor of 0.922 can be used to express this in terms of dissolved metal.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ No value contained in Federal Register Vol. 63, No. 237, Thursday, December 1998 (page 68357) for CMC. Used value contained in Federal Register Vol. 57, No. 246, Tuesday, December 22, 1992.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}} 0.271 \mathrm{ppm}$ in 17.5 inch index-sized Lahontan cutthroat trout. Based on size vs. muscle mercury relationship. Consistent with an overall (average) angling diet at the 0.300 ppm National Criterion level. Unless noted otherwise, all fish mercury values in this document are presented in terms of wet weight concentrations, i.e. concentrations in fresh fish samples as taken by anglers.
See APPENDIX B for Pyramid Lake Mercury Criterion calculations and scientific justification.

APPENDIX A - Parameters for Calculating Freshwater Dissolved Metals Criteria That Are Hardness-Dependent

| Metal | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | CF-Acute | CF-Chronic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cadmium | 1.0166 | -3.924 | 0.7409 | -4.719 | $1.136672-[\ln ($ hard- <br> ness)(0.041838)] | $1.101672-[\ln ($ hard- <br> ness)(0.041838)] |
| Chromium III | 0.8190 | 3.7256 | 0.8190 | 0.64848 | 0.316 | 0.860 |
| Copper | 0.9422 | -1.700 | 0.8545 | -1.702 | 0.960 | 0.960 |
| Lead | 1.273 | -1.469 | 1.273 | -4.705 | $1.46203-[\ln ($ hard- <br> ness)(0.145712)] | $1.46203-[\ln ($ hard- <br> ness)(0.145712)] |
| Nickel | 0.8460 | 2.255 | 0.8460 | 0.0584 | 0.998 | 0.997 |
| Silver | 1.72 | -6.52 | --- | --- | 0.85 | --- |
| Zinc | 0.8473 | 0.884 | 0.8473 | 0.884 | 0.978 | 0.986 |

## APPENDIX B - Mercury Criterion Calculations for Pyramid Lake Lahontan Cutthroat Trout Dr. Darell Slotton (Research Scientist - UC Davis, California)

USEPA has established a fish tissue-based water quality criterion for mercury, recognizing that human exposure to toxic methylmercury comes almost entirely through consumption of fish. This criterion is directed at watersheds across the country and the fish that are taken through angling and other means, supplementing storebought seafood. The National Criterion of 0.30 ppm mercury in edible fish tissue is based on these national averages:

- The standardized angling-related diet is defined as the consumption rate of angling catch by the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile of people, which national censusing found to be $17.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$.
- The average consumer is estimated to additionally consume $12.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day of commercial fish, which also contains some methylmercury.
- 0.30 ppm (the Criterion level) = the safe average Hg concentration of angling catch, for people that additionally consume the national average $12.5 \mathrm{~g} /$ day of commercial fish.

The angling-related catch diet is assumed to consist of a mixture of fish of different trophic levels and different mercury concentrations. The 0.30 ppm Criterion is intended to be the average concentration of the mixed types. The Criterion calculation includes the mercury concentration of each fish type, multiplied by that type's percentage in the overall angling diet. For 4 types of fish (F1, F2, F3, and F4), the basic National Criterion equation is:

## $0.30 \mathrm{ppm}=(\% \mathrm{~F} 1 \times \mathrm{F} 1 \mathrm{conc})+(\% \mathrm{~F} 2 \times \mathrm{F} 2 \mathrm{conc})+(\% \mathrm{~F} 3 \times \mathrm{F} 3 \mathrm{conc})+(\% \mathrm{~F} 4 \times \mathrm{F} 4 \mathrm{conc})$

This equation can be used to determine the acceptable individual Hg concentrations for each fish type (F1conc, F2conc, F3conc, and F4conc), averaging to the National Criterion of 0.30 ppm .

Typically, the equation is calculated in terms of multiple fish trophic levels, separating species that feed on different food types and thus accumulate different levels of mercury. At Pyramid Lake, virtually all of the fishing take and consumption consists of Lahontan cutthroat trout. A substantial amount of information exists for different size groups of these trout. Therefore, the Criterion equation can be calculated in relation to the different size classes of trout, just as the equation is often solved for different species of fish or different trophic levels.

To solve the equation, it is first necessary to estimate relative catch percentages for each of the fish groups in the equation. Creel surveys at Pyramid Lake have tracked keeper percentages of trout by size class for the past 10 years (Table 1). The great majority of these fish are from the 16-19" main legal catch window, with a small percentage taken in the second, upper window of $>24$ ". Many of these largest fish are kept for trophy mounting rather than consumption. The creel survey keeper percentage data are separated into three one-inch size classes within the 16-19" main catch window, plus the $>24$ " fish. Average percentages of keepers (Table 2), based on the ten-year record, are:

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
16-17 ": & 27.0 \% \\
17-18 ": & 37.4 \% \\
18-19 ": & 28.9 \% \\
>24 ": & 6.7 \%
\end{array}
$$

To account for the fact that many of the $>24$ inch fish are kept for trophy mounting rather than consumption, the creel percentages have been adjusted to exclude $25 \%$ of the kept fish $>24$ inches in length from consumption considerations:

```
16-17": 27.5%
17-18": 38.1%
18-19": 29.4%
>24": 5.0%
```

These catch consumption percentages can be used directly in the criterion calculation.
Knowing the catch percentages for each size class, there are still four unknowns in the equation: F1conc, F2conc, F3conc, and F4conc. To solve this type of algebra equation, it is necessary to convert the different unknowns into terms of one single unknown. To do this, estimates are needed of the ratios of typical Hg concentrations between fish of the different sizes.

Relative mercury concentrations between the identified size classes can be determined from extensive ( $\mathrm{n}=50 / \mathrm{yr}$ ) large trout mercury analyses from each of three recent years (2001, 2003, and 2004; Fig. 4). These annual samplings included fish distributed across the range of potential keeper sizes, defining size:mercury relationships for each year, typically an exponential function. For each year, the mercury concentration associated with each of the sizes in question was calculated (Table 3), together with the concentration ratios between the key size classes (Table 4). Ratios were based on the $17-18$ " trout size class (specified as $17.5^{\prime \prime}$ in calculations), which is at the center of the main catch window of 16-19". This mid-range $17.5^{\prime \prime}$ index size will be used as the criterion regulatory target. Average mercury concentration ratios from the three years of data, in terms of the 17.5 " mid-sized trout benchmark, are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 16-17" (16.5") conc.: } 0.892 \times 17-18^{\prime \prime}\left(17.5^{\prime}\right) \text { conc. } \\
& \text { 17-18" (17.5") conc.: } 1.000 \times 17-18^{\prime \prime}\left(17.5^{\prime}\right) \text { conc. } \\
& \text { 18-19" (18.5") conc.: } 1.121 \times 17-18^{\prime \prime}\left(17.5^{\prime}\right) \text { conc. } \\
& >24 \text { " conc.: } 2.984 \times 17-18^{\prime \prime}\left(17.5^{\prime}\right) \text { conc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the calculations that follow, the criterion equation is solved in terms of the $17-18^{\prime \prime}$ trout size class ( $17.5^{\prime \prime}$ ). Concentrations are in ng mercury per gram, or ppb. $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{ppb})=0.30 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{ppm})$.

Mercury Criterion Calculations for 17.5" Index Size Pyramid Lake Lahontan Cutthroat Trout

$$
\begin{aligned}
300 \mathrm{ppb}= & (27.5 \% \times 16-17 " \mathrm{conc}) \\
& +(38.1 \% \times 17-18 " \text { conc }) \\
& +(29.4 \% \times 18-19 " \mathrm{conc}) \\
& +(5.0 \% \times 24 " \mathrm{conc}) \\
300 \mathrm{ppb}= & (27.5 \% \times(0.892 \times 17-18 " \mathrm{conc})) \\
& +(38.1 \% \times 18 \times \text {. }) \\
& +(29.4 \% \times(1.121 \times 17-18 " \text { conc })) \\
& +(5.0 \% \times(2.984 \times 17-18 " \text { conc }))
\end{aligned}
$$

```
300 ppb = (0.245 x 17-18"conc)
    + (0.381 x 17-18"conc)
    + (0.330 x 17-18"conc)
    + (0.150 x 17-18"conc)
300 ppb = (0.245+0.381+0.330+0.150) x 17-18"conc
300 ppb = 1.106 x 17-18"conc
300 ppb / 1.106 = 17-18"conc
271 ppb = 17-18"conc (0.27 ppm)
```

What this means is that a normalized concentration for the index size of 17.5 " (the middle of the $16-19$ " main catch window) at or below $271 \mathrm{ppb}(0.271 \mathrm{ppm})$ would reflect an overall, average diet that is consistent with the 0.30 ppm National Criterion.

This can be determined by analyzing mercury across a range of trout sizes, as has been done in recent years, defining a size:mercury relationship, and determining what mercury concentration corresponds to the 17.5 " index size. In 2001, the normalized index size corresponded to $268 \mathrm{ppb}(0.268 \mathrm{ppm})$, essentially at the Criterion level. In 2003, the index concentration was substantially lower at $150 \mathrm{ppb}(0.150 \mathrm{ppm})$. There was a further decline in 2004 to $109 \mathrm{ppb}(0.109 \mathrm{ppm})$.

Table 1. Pyramid Lake creel census data for Lahontan cutthroat trout from different size classes, 1996-2005.

| Size <br> Class | $\mathbf{9 6 - 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 - 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 - 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 - 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 0 - 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 1 - 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 2 - 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 4 - 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ in | $34.7 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ | $36.4 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ in | $36.8 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ in | $22.1 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ |
| $>\mathbf{2 4}$ in | $5.3 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |

Table 2. Reduced mean creel census data, 1996-2005. Means adjusted to account for estimated 25\% of retained fish $>24$ inches used for trophy mounting rather than consumption.

| Size <br> Class | Mean <br> Percentages | Adjusted <br> Means |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ in | $27.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 5 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ in | $37.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 1 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ in | $28.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 4 \%}$ |
| $>\mathbf{2 4}$ in | $6.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 \%}$ |

Table 3. Regression equations for fish muscle mercury concentration vs. size (fork length) in 2001, 2003, and 2004. Equation-calculated normalized mercury concentrations for 16.5 inch, 17.5 inch, and 18.5 inch sizes (midpoints of the 3 inch-classes within the main 16-19 inch legal catch window. For the $>24$ inch upper size window, mercury concentrations in all annual individuals above 24 inches were averaged. Regressions based on $\mathrm{n}=50$ individual analyses per year. Concentrations in ng Hg per gram $=\mathrm{ppb}(=\mathrm{ppm} / 1000)$

| Year | Regression equation | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ in | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ in | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ in | $\mathbf{> 2 4} \mathbf{\text { in* }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $58.614 \times \exp (0.0868 \times$ length $)$ | 245 | 268 | 292 | 561 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $13.092 \times \exp (0.1395 \times$ length $)$ | 131 | 150 | 173 | 546 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $15.188 \times \exp (0.1125 \times$ length $)$ | 97 | 109 | 122 | 351 |

Table 4. Mercury concentration proportions in relation to the 17.5 inch index size.

| Year | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ in | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ in | $\mathbf{1 8 . 5}$ in | $\mathbf{> 2 4}$ in* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 0.914 | 1.000 | 1.090 | 2.093 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | 0.873 | 1.000 | 1.153 | 3.640 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\underline{0.890}$ | $\underline{1.000}$ | $\underline{1.119}$ | $\underline{3.220}$ |
| Mean ratios | $\mathbf{0 . 8 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 8 4}$ |

Figure II. 2 Pyramid Lake Lahontan cutthroat trout size vs. muscle mercury, 2001-2004. Including best fit trend curves for each year and trend-normalized mercury concentration for 17.5 inch index size. $\mathrm{n}=50$ individual analyses per year. Main legal catch window $=16-19$ inches. Secondary catch window $=>24$ inches. Concentrations in ng Hg per $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{ppb}$ ( $=\mathrm{ppm} / 1000$ )


## IMPLEMENTATION

Acting under authority delegated by the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribal Council, the Tribal Interdisciplinary Team (TIDT) shall implement the PLPT's Water Quality Standards, including the antidegradation policy, by establishing and maintaining controls on the discharge of pollutants into surface waters. The PLPT will also work in close cooperation with local, state and federal agencies towards the goal of controlling regional discharges including nonpoint source pollution. Habitat restoration, especially along the lower Truckee River, will be an important component of the implementation efforts. Particularly, the Tribal Natural Resource Departments represented on the TIDT shall do the following:

## Monitoring and Assessment

1. Monitor water quality to assess the effectiveness of pollution controls and to determine whether WQS are being attained. While emphasis will continue to be placed on chemical based monitoring, additional biological indicators of water quality and ecosystem health may be developed.
2. Review adequacy of existing data base and obtain additional data when required. The PLPT will promote an active program to: better define the scientific understanding upon which the WQS are based; obtain information as to the impacts of point and nonpoint source discharges on receiving waters; and assist in implementation of water quality controls and habitat restoration projects.
3. The PLPT will continue to liaison with local, state and federal monitoring and research activities. The PLPT will likewise liaison with relevant agencies and authorities on any such future regional monitoring and research activity which is consistent with PLPT WQCP goals and objectives.

## Permitting

4. The PLPT has received TAS Program Authority for CWA 401 Certification, and will work with USEPA staff with the permitting approval process for point source discharges to receiving waters within the exterior boundaries of the PLIR, as appropriate. CWA 401 certification shall be exercised consistent with the PLPT WQCP and/ or Water Quality Ordinance to ensure that federally licensed and permitted activities do not result in exceedances of WQS.
5. Assess the probable impact of discharges on receiving waters in light of designated uses and numeric and narrative standards. All permits issued or reissued shall be conditioned in such a manner as to authorize only activities that will not cause violations of Tribal WQS. Permits may be subject to modification whenever the permitted activity appears to violate WQS. Factors such as frequency and magnitude of excursions along with seasonal, climatic, or process variations affecting an identified water quality violation will be considered in potential permit modification actions.
6. Work with USEPA to develop water quality based effluent limitations and comments on technologybased discharge limitations, as appropriate, for inclusion in any federal permit issued to a discharger pursuant to Section 402 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. Section 1342).
7. Require that these water quality based discharge limitations be included in any such permit as a condition for Tribal certification pursuant to Section 402 of the Clean Water Act ( 33 U.S.C. Section 1342).
8. Coordinate with upstream jurisdictions to ensure that permits issued by these jurisdictions comply with, or support attainment of, the PLPT's WQS.
9. Advise prospective dischargers of discharge requirements.
10. Develop and pursue inspection and enforcement programs to ensure that dischargers comply with requirements of the PLPT's Water Quality Standards and any requirements promulgated thereunder, and in order to support the enforcement of federal permits by the USEPA.
11. A schedule to bring a source or nonpoint source into compliance with an existing or revised water quality standard may be established in a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit.

## Nonpoint Source Controls

12. Encourage voluntary implementation of Tribal Nonpoint Sources Assessment and Management Plan (October 1994) to control nonpoint sources of pollutants to achieve compliance with the PLPT's Water Quality Standards.
13. Specific recommendations for best management practices in that plan include, but are not limited to; prioritizing nonpoint source control projects, submittal of implementation projects under Section 319 of the Clean Water Act ( 33 U.S.C. Section 1342), reduction of grazing pressures along the Truckee River, restoration of Truckee River riparian habitat, demonstration projects for water efficient irrigation. Best management practices established in permits, orders, rules or directives shall be reviewed and modified, as appropriate, to achieve compliance with water quality criteria.
14. Work with local, state and federal agencies, and private concerns to address and develop solutions to reduce adverse impacts of regional agricultural activities on the Truckee River.
15. Work with local, state, and federal agencies, and private concerns, as appropriate, to coordinate nonpoint source control activities.
16. Investigate the benefits of "pollution trading" as a mechanism to decrease mass loading from regional nonpoint source and point source discharges.

## Wastewater

17. Upgrade domestic wastewater treatment, as necessary, to protect and maintain beneficial uses and existing water quality.

## Education

18. Encourage PLPT Environment, Pyramid Lake Fisheries, and Water Resource Department staff to obtain training in the areas of watershed management, water quality monitoring/protection, WQS, riparian restoration, and other appropriate topics.
19. Nonpoint source implementation will include an educational outreach to Tribal members and landowners concerning how human activities affect quality of receiving waterbodies.

## Regional Planning

20. Participate with local, state, and federal agencies, and private concerns in regional water quality and riparian habitat restoration projects.
21. Participate with local, state, and federal agencies, and private concerns, in discussions on regional water supply planning.
22. Water quality on Tribal lands could be affected by transportation accidents. The PLPT has completed an emergency response plan, with actions to be taken should such an accidental spill entering the Truckee River. A memorandum of understanding between the PLPT and Washoe County will cover manpower and other regional resources needed to respond and take care of any accidental spills.

## Enforcement

23. These WQS shall be enforced through all methods available to the PLPT including, but not limited to: issuance of permits by the USEPA; participation by the PLPT in the USEPA permitting process; including conditions in leases of Tribal lands, rights of way across Tribal lands and other legal documents authorizing the use of Tribal lands or interests in Tribal lands; regulatory orders; court actions; review and approval of plans and specifications; evaluation of compliance with best management practices and all reasonable methods of prevention, control, and treatment of wastes prior to discharge; and coordination with Tribal and non-Tribal departments and regulatory agencies. Enforcement is further described in chapter 3 of the PLPT's Water Quality Ordinance.

## Short-Term Modifications

24. The criteria established in these standards may be modified for a specific water body on a shortterm basis in order to respond to emergencies, to accommodate essential activities, or to otherwise protect public health and welfare, even though such activities may result in a temporary reduction of water quality conditions below those criteria established by this regulation. If considered appropriate, and on the basis of adequate scientific documentation, river WQS may be temporarily exceeded to accommodate increased flow in the Truckee River. The time period for any authorized temporary reduction of water quality shall be designated by the TIDT to have a specific end date or by setting a period ending trigger based on appropriate criteria associated with the condition or circumstance being responded to.
25. No degradation of water quality or aquatic habitat will be allowed if it causes long-term harm to the environment, human health, or cultural resources, or adversely impacts a threatened or endangered species.
26. Requests for short-term modifications shall be made to the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribal Council. Such requests shall be made at least thirty days prior to the start of the activity impacting water quality, unless the modification is in response to an emergency requiring immediate action in which case notification shall be provided within twenty-four hours of the response decision.

## Seasonal Variations

27. It is recognized that natural conditions in both Pyramid Lake and the Truckee River may, on occasion, be outside the limits established by the WQS. The PLPT's WQCP acknowledge that standards will not necessarily be considered violated when natural conditions cause criteria to be outside
the established limits (these may be the result of natural physical, chemical and/or biological conditions). Exceedances of WQS will be considered on a case-by-case basis to determine, to the extent possible, the relative contribution of natural conditions and anthropogenic pollutant loading. Furthermore, it is also understood that the magnitude of water flow in the lower Truckee River and water elevation in Pyramid Lake are inexorably linked to water quality and protection of beneficial uses. If, using a combination of evaluation tools, including but not limited to, water quality models, focused research, monitoring data, and scientific discretion, it is concluded that an overall benefit(s) to the Lake or river can be achieved by increased flow, the PLPT can make accommodations for a temporary condition in which existing water quality may be lowered, i.e. transient relaxation of antidegradation. Likewise, a similar approach may be applied for any specific water quality criteria.
28. It is recognized that natural seasonal variations of nitrogen can occur within Pyramid Lake following Nodularia blooms. In the case of the numerous beneficial uses for Pyramid Lake that focus on fisheries and aquatic ecology, it is recognized in principle, nitrogen loading to the Lake could be a net benefit by improving available food resources. The PLPT will not consider an increase in the Lake's nitrogen content as a violation of antidegradation policy if natural blooms of Nodularia are responsible for the increase. However, the PLPT will consider requests to increase nitrogen loading in light of the balance between improved trophic resources for fish and the potential for oxygen depletion. Requests will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

## Exceedances

29. When numeric WQS are found to be exceeded, an investigation will be undertaken to determine the potential for fully protecting the beneficial uses. Initially, this investigation will consist of a trend analysis. Stressor identification analyses using bioassessment, stable isotope and other information may also be used. Results from these analyses will be used to determine additional actions.

## Review

30. In accordance with section 303 (c) of the Clean Water Act (as amended) public hearings will be held at least once each three year period for the purpose of reviewing applicable WQS and/or adopting new standards. Reviews may be held more frequently if necessary.
31. All numeric standards can be subject to modification if new scientific data and understanding of ecosystem processes or human health criteria for a given constituent becomes available. This does not preclude reevaluating or examining rationale or methods applied to existing data used to set antidegradation or beneficial use standards. Any modification of an antidegradation or beneficial use standards that may be viewed as a "relaxation" is acceptable if the justification and rationale supporting the standard adjustment is satisfactorily demonstrated to and accepted by the standards setting authority as meeting their approval conditions and process requirements. USEPA approval of any standards change is required before the change becomes effective (40 CFR 131.21).

## MONITORING

Physical, chemical and biological monitoring of Pyramid Lake and the Truckee River is important in the implementation of these WQS. The data collected will be used to: (1) assess water quality conditions in both Pyramid Lake and the Truckee River; (2) determine whether WQS are being achieved; (3) provide a basis for evaluating watershed management strategies; and (4) improve the overall understanding processes which control water quality conditions in both water bodies. The PLPT has conducted an extensive water quality and biological monitoring program on Pyramid Lake through efforts of the Pyramid Lake Fisheries. Water sampling will continue as defined below. At the same time, there is a large, regional effort to monitor the lower Truckee River led by the State of Nevada and the Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (Cities of Reno and Sparks) with contributions by the PLPT, University of Nevada - Reno, US Fish \& Wildlife Service and the US Geological Survey. The PLPT will conduct monitoring activities on the Truckee River as outlined below and discussed in further detail in the PLPT's Nonpoint Source Assessment and Management Plan (October 1994). All monitoring will be re-assessed as part of the triennial review of WQS.

## Lake

Water quality monitoring for Pyramid Lake consists of monthly sampling at the deep, index station (WP96) as well as quarterly synoptic sampling at station WP93 in the shallower south basin, and annual sampling during the spring phytoplankton bloom of five stations along the north-south transect as suggested in Figure II.2. Quarterly synoptic samplings will be conducted during winter mixing (February), the spring phytoplankton bloom (April-May), summer (August), and in the fall (November); the exact timing may vary from year-to-year. At WP96, quarterly sampling will include: profiles of temperature (T), dissolved oxygen (DO), pH , and electrical conductivity (as a surrogate for TDS); a profile of light intensity, and secchi depth. Water samples will be collected from discrete depths for nutrient and chlorophyll analyses, respectively. Chlorophyll sampling will be conducted in the photic zone down to the depth of one-percent light penetration, while nutrient sampling will be done to the bottom. Nutrient analyses will include ammonium, nitrate (+nitrite), total Kjeldahl-N, dissolved reactive- P and total- P . The established sampling methodologies, analytical techniques and quality assurance program established by the Pyramid Lake Fisheries will continue to be used.

## River

Several agencies have on-going water quality programs established in Truckee River such as monthly sampling at Nixon and Wadsworth for nutrients, ph, TDS, total alkalinity, total suspended solids, specific conductivity, ionic composition, and turbidity. The Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility (TMWRF) collects monthly samples at Nixon and Wadsworth for water quality composition as part of their operating agreement. In addition, TMWRF collects continuous YSI Sonde data near Marble Bluff Dam and Wadsworth bridge during lower flows (April - November). TMWRF also conducts quarterly macro-invertebrate sampling within the Dead Ox reach of the PLIR. The US Geological Survey provides flow data at the Nixon (upstream of Numana Dam) and Wadsworth gage stations. These efforts provide adequate data for the regional monitoring effort. The Wadsworth and Nixon stations will be used as control points for WQS monitoring.

The PLPT will initiate additional monitoring to document the aquatic biological and riparian habitat health on the river. The PLPT will continue to conduct annual surveys of benthic invertebrate species composition and biodiversity. Additionally, an annual survey of riparian cottonwood growth and general vegetation along the river's riparian corridor will be conducted to facilitate future management decisions regarding additional research and planning needs.

As part of the triennial review or earlier if conditions warrant, water samples may be analyzed for priority pollutant organic compounds and metals collected from Pyramid Lake and the Truckee River (at

Nixon). At the same time, representative fish samples from these two water bodies may also be analyzed for priority pollutants. Toxicity testing will be conducted as needed based on results from water quality monitoring. However, the PLPT may require toxicity testing in association with the issuance of new waste discharge permits.

The PLPT will approve all analytical methodologies used for monitoring samples in consultation with local, state and federal agencies. It is the intent of the PLPT to only accept methods which provide an appropriate limit of detection for water quality parameters.


Figure II.3. Pyramid Lake monthly (Sta. 96) and synoptic (Sta. 93) sampling stations.

## DEFINITION OF TERMS

AEROBIC: Describes life or processes that require the presence of molecular oxygen.
ALGAE: Small aquatic plants which occur as single cells, colonies, or filaments.
ALKALINE: Water bodies with pH higher than 7.
AMBIENT: Concentration of a nutrient or temperature in the bulk water mass.
ANAEROBIC: Describes processes that occur in the absence of molecular oxygen.
ANNUAL AVERAGE (A-AVG.): Annual mean of monthly, flow or volume weighted averages.
ANOXIA: A condition of no oxygen in the water. Often occurs near the bottom of fertile stratified lakes in the summer and under ice in late winter.

ANTIDEGRADATION: Portion of water quality standards which sets minimum requirements to maintain and protect existing uses and water quality. Protects water quality if existing conditions are higher than standards to protect beneficial use(s).

AQUATIC SPECIES: Plant and animals which live at least part of their life cycle in water.
ARSENIC: Priority pollutant metal which is a carcinogen to humans and toxic to aquatic life. Certain water bodies in the Reno, NV vicinity can have naturally elevated backgrounds because of regional geology.

BACKGROUND CONDITIONS: Biological, chemical and physical conditions of a water body, outside the area of influence of the point source, nonpoint source, or instream activity under consideration.

BENEFICIAL USE: Designated use of a water body. Examples include commercial, social, recreation, ecological, etc. Part of water quality standards.

BENTHOS: Macroscopic (seen without aid of a microscope) organisms living in and on the bottom sediments of lakes and streams. Originally, the term meant the lake bottom, but it is now applied almost uniformly to the animals associated with the substrate.

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMP): Physical, structural and/or managerial practices approved by a State or Tribe that, when used singularly or in combination, prevent or reduce pollution.

BIOACCUMULATION: Process by which a compound is taken up by and accumulates in an aquatic organism, both from water and through food.

BIOASSAY: Experiments where the growth of organisms is used to evaluate what nutrient is limiting plant growth.

BIOCONCENTRATION FACTOR: Numeric value to describe bioaccumulation. Used in calculation toxicity risk assessment.

BIOLOGIC CRITERIA: Numerical values or narrative expressions that describe the biological integrity of biologic communities inhabiting waters of a given designated aquatic life use. Biological criteria serve as an index of aquatic community health.

BIOMASS: The weight of biological matter. Standing crop is the amount of biomass (e.g., fish or algae) in a body of water at a given time. Often measured in terms of grams per square meter of surface.

BIOSTIMULATORY SUBSTANCE: In the context of bioassay experiments, an increase in phytoplankton biomass due to the addition of a limiting nutrient that is higher than any increase observed when no nutrients are added.

BIOTA: All plant and animal species occurring in a specified area.
BLOOM: When phytoplankton biomass becomes large in response to favorable growth conditions. For example, a peak in phytoplankton biomass is observed during the spring in many aquatic systems when there is an ample supply of nutrients and light.

CARCINOGEN: Any substance that produces or tends to produce cancer in humans.

CHLOROPHYLL: A green pigment in algae and other green plants that is essential for the conversion of sunlight, carbon dioxide, and water to sugar. Sugar is then converted to starch, proteins, fats, and other organic molecules.

CLEAN WATER ACT: Federal legislation passed in 1972 and amended in 1987 which was established to restore and maintain the chemical, physical and biological integrity of the Nation's waters. Where attainable, the goal is to achieve a swimmable/ fishable use in surface waters.

COLORIMETRIC METHOD: A method where the intensity of color development is used to measure the concentration of a parameter of interest.

COMPOSITE SAMPLE: When water collected at several depths, locations or at various times during a sampling period are combined to yield a single, representative sample.

CONCENTRATION: Amount of a substance, such as nitrate, in a given volume of water. Common units of concentration are $\mu \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ or $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$.

CONDUCTIVITY: Indirect measurement of the ionic content of water. Often serves as an easily measurable surrogate for total dissolved solids.

CONTROL POINT: Specific location in a water body where measurements are made to determine if water quality standards are being achieved.

CRITERIA: Description of water quality levels which will support a particular beneficial use. May be narrative or numeric. Numeric criteria can be site-specific or may be taken from water quality criteria published by the USEPA.

CRITERIA CONTINUOUS CONCENTRATION (CCC): The CCC is an estimate of the highest concentration of a material in surface water to which to which an aquatic community can be exposed indefinitely without resulting in an unacceptable effect. The CCC is equal to the lowest of the Final Chronic Value, the Final Plant Value, and the Final Residue Value as defined and discussed in the USEPA

Guidelines for Deriving Numerical National Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Organisms and Their Uses (1985). In addition, the highest four-day average within the 30-day period should not exceed 2.5 times the CCC. An acceptable exceedance frequency would be once every three years.

CRITERIA MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION (CMC): The CMC is an estimate of the highest concentration of a material in surface water to which to which an aquatic community can be exposed briefly without resulting in an unacceptable effect. The CMC is equal to one-half of the Final Acute Value as defined and discussed in the USEPA Guidelines for Deriving Numerical National Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Organisms and Their Uses (1985). An averaging period of 1 hour has been established for the CCC. An acceptable exceedance frequency would be once every three years.

CUI-UI (Chasmistes cujus): Important species of fish in Pyramid Lake of cultural importance. Listed in 1967 as a Federally Endangered Species. Utilizes the Truckee River for spawning.

DEFICIENCY: When algal biomass lacks some of an essential nutrient compared with normal growth conditions.

DELTA: The accumulation of sediments at the mouth of a river.
DEPTH AVERAGE: Statistical approach to characterize the mean concentration of a water quality constituent in a portion or the entire water column.

DIMICTIC: Lake that mixes twice each year. Usually spring and fall.
DISSOLVED INORGANIC NITROGEN: Includes nitrate, nitrite and ammonium. Forms of nitrogen most readily used by algae for growth.

DRP: Abbreviation for dissolved reactive phosphorus.
ECOLOGICAL STUDY: The investigation of an ecosystem designed to identify the animals and plants present and how they interact.

ECOSYSTEM: A system of interrelated organisms and their physical-chemical environment. In this report, the ecosystem is defined to include the lake and its watershed.

EFFLUENT: Liquid wastes from sewage treatment, septic systems, or industrial sources that are released to a surface water.

ENDEMIC: Species that are only found in a specific location such as a group of lakes in one region.
EPILIMNION: Uppermost, warmest, well-mixed layer of a lake during summertime thermal stratification. The epilimnion extends from the surface to the thermocline.

EUTROPHIC: From Greek for "well-nourished," describes a lake of high photosynthetic activity and low transparency.

EUTROPHICATION: The process of physical, chemical, and biological changes associated with nutrient, organic matter, and silt enrichment and sedimentation of a lake or reservoir. If the process is accelerated by man-made influences, it is termed cultural eutrophication.

EXTERNAL NUTRIENT SOURCES: Nutrients entering the lake from the outside through river inflow, atmospheric deposition, eolian transport, etc.

EXISTING USE: All uses actually attained in a water body on or after November 28, 1975, whether or not they are explicitly stated in the water quality standards or presently existing.

FECAL COLIFORM: Portion of the coliform bacteria group which is present in the intestinal tracts and feces of warm-blooded animals.

FLOW WEIGHTED: Statistical approach used to calculate mean concentration of a water quality constituent based on the relative proportion of flow. Concentrations measured during periods of high flow have more weight in the calculation of a final average concentration.

FOOD WEB: Pattern of production and consumption of organic matter in an ecosystem. Green plants are an ultimate source of energy for all food chains.

GEOMETRIC MEAN: Either the nth root of a product of n factors, or the antilogarithm of the arithmetic mean of the logarithms of the individual sample values.

GROWTH POTENTIAL: The potential or ability of a phytoplankton population to increase total biomass if the factor controlling growth is removed. For example, the ability of phytoplankton to growth if nitrogen is added.

HARDNESS: Measure of the calcium and magnesium ions present in water. For many of the priority pollutant metals hardness acts to partially mitigate the toxic effect of these constituents.

HYDROLOGIC CYCLE: The circular flow or cycling of water from the atmosphere to the earth (precipitation) and back to the atmosphere (evaporation and plant transpiration). Runoff, surface water, groundwater, and water infiltrated in soils are all part of the hydrologic cycle.

HYPOLIMNION: Lower, cooler layer of a lake during summertime thermal stratification.
INDIGENOUS AQUATIC LIFE: Aquatic life which resides in a particular water body.
INTERNAL NUTRIENT SOURCES: Nutrients released within the lake as opposed to those entering the Lake from the outside.

ISOTHERMAL: The same temperature throughout; e.g. overturn.
LAHONTAN CUTTHROAT TROUT: (Oncorhynchus clarki henshawi). Important cold-water sport fish. Downlisted in 1975 as a Federally Threatened Species. Populations are maintained in Pyramid Lake by an active hatchery program managed by the Pyramid Lake Fisheries with assistance from the USFWS.

LIMIT OF DETECTION: The minimum concentration of a nutrient that can be measured with the technique being used.

LIMNOLOGY: Scientific study of fresh water, especially the history, geology, biology, physics, and chemistry of lakes. Also termed freshwater ecology.

MEROMIXIS: Condition when lakes do not mix from top to bottom.

MESOTROPHIC: Describes a lake of intermediate photosynthetic production; See oligotrophic and eutrophic.

METALIMNION: Layer of rapid temperature and density change in a thermally stratified lake. Resistance to mixing is high in the region.

MONOMICTIC: Lakes that mix completely once during each year. Usually, during the winter.
MIXING ZONE: As used in Pyramid Lake a mixing zone refers to that portion of the Lake, influenced by tributary inflow, where total dissolved solids (TDS) is less than $80 \%$ of that measured at mid- lake (Station 96) using electrical conductivity as an indicator.
$\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ : An expression of concentration, milligrams per liter (equivalent to parts per million).
N : Abbreviation for nitrogen.
NARRATIVE STANDARDS: Can serve as the basis for limiting toxicity where a specific toxic pollutant can be identified as causing the toxicity when no numeric criterion in the standards is in place. The narrative standard can also be used to limit whole effluent toxicity where it is not known which chemical or chemicals are causing the toxicity

NITROGEN DEMAND: The amount of nitrogen required by phytoplankton for growth.
NITROGEN FIXATION: Use of atmospheric nitrogen gas $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ by plants to fulfill nitrogen requirements for growth. Most plants use nitrate-N and ammonium-N for growth.
$\mathrm{NH}_{4}-\mathrm{N}$ : Abbreviation for nitrogen in the form of ammonium.
$\mathrm{NO}_{3}-\mathrm{N}$ : Abbreviation for nitrogen in the form of nitrate.
NODULARIA: Blue-green algae genus that fixes atmospheric nitrogen. Common in late summer to early fall in Pyramid Lake.

NONPOINT SOURCE: A source of pollution from diffuse sources rather than a distinct point of origin. One example is agricultural irrigation of croplands.

NUMERIC STANDARDS: Specific quantities for water quality constituents, which if achieved will protect the beneficial uses of a water body.

NUTRIENT: An element or chemical essential to life, including carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, phosphorus, and others.

NUTRIENT BUDGET: A comparison of all sources and losses of a nutrient to a water body.
NUTRIENT CYCLING: The flow of nutrients from one component of an ecosystem to another, as when macrophytes die and release nutrients that become available to algae (organic to inorganic phase and return).

NUTRIENT LOADING: Amount of a nutrient that enters a lake over a given period of time.

OLIGOTROPHIC: "Poorly nourished," from the Greek. Describes a lake of low plant productivity and high transparency.

ORGANIC MATTER: Molecules manufactured by plants and animals and containing linked carbon atoms and elements such as hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphorus.

OVERTURN: The mixing, top to bottom, of lake water caused by the formation of denser water at the surface due to cooling or warming and wind-derived energy. Can occur in fall, winter, and spring depending on the seasonal temperature range of the lake.

P: Abbreviation for phosphorus.
PERIPHYTON: Small plants that grow on the surface of rocks, sediment, or larger plants.
PERMIT: A legally binding document issued by a Tribe, State or Federal permits agency to the owner or manager of a point source discharge. The permit document contains a schedule of compliance requiring the permit holder to achieve a specified standard or limitation by a specified date, which is usually the date that the permit becomes effective. Permit documents also specify monitoring and reporting requirements to be conducted by the applicant.
pH : A measure of the concentration of hydrogen ions of a substance, which ranges from very acid $(\mathrm{pH}=1)$ to very alkaline ( $\mathrm{pH}=14$ ). pH 7 is neutral and most lake waters range between 6 and 9 . pH values less than 6 are considered acidic and most life forms can not survive at pH of 4.0 or lower.

PHOTIC ZONE: The lighted region of a lake where photosynthesis takes place. Extends down to a depth where plant growth (based on the amount of light available) and respiration are balanced.

PHYTOPLANKTON: Microscopic algae and microbes that float freely in open water of lakes and oceans.
PLANKTON: Planktonic algae float freely in the open water. Filamentous algae form long threads and are often seen as mats on the surface in shallow areas of the lake.

PLATINUM COBALT UNITS: Defines level of color in water.
POLLUTION: Contamination, or other alteration of physical, chemical or biological properties, of any waters, including but not limited to change in temperature, taste, odor, turbidity or color of the water, or such discharge of any liquid, gaseous, solid, radioactive, or other substance into any waters as will or is likely to create a nuisance or impair any beneficial use of such waters.
"POLLUTION TRADING": Novel approach taken to achieve an overall lower level of pollution loading to a water body. A discharger may be allowed to introduce pollutants into a water body if they act to abate other sources. For example, if agreed to by all parties, a wastewater plant may be allowed additional hookups if they can, through mitigation, reduce discharges from other point or nonpoint sources.

PRIMARY PRODUCTIVITY: The rate at which algae and macrophytes fix or convert light, water, and carbon dioxide to sugar in plant cells. Commonly measured as milligrams of carbon per square meter per hour.

PRIORITY POLLUTANT METALS: Metals associated with human and aquatic life toxicity. Include such compounds as arsenic, chromium, copper, mercury, zinc, etc. Numeric criteria for these metals come from USEPA.

PRIORITY POLLUTANT ORGANICS: Organic compounds associated with human and aquatic life toxicity. Include such compounds as pesticides, TCE, benzene, phenol, PCB's, etc. Numeric criteria for these metals come from USEPA.

PYRAMID LAKE FISHERIES: An organization formed by the PLPT in 1974 responsible for managing fishery operations, the Pyramid Lake water quality program, fish recovery efforts, and improving fish habitat within the exterior boundaries of the Pyramid Lake Indian reservation.

RADIONUCLIDES: Radioactive substance.
RESERVATION: Defined as the legal boundary of Tribal property. Protection of water quality and aquatic life in the water bodies, or portions of water bodies, contained on the Reservation is the responsibility of the PLPT.

RIPARIAN: Refers to plant and animal communities which occur along river and stream channels. Important for bank stability and provide shade which helps reduce water temperature.

RISK LEVEL: For carcinogenic compounds probability that exposure will result in cancer during a lifetime. The USEPA recommends a range of $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-7}$ be used to establish water quality criteria. For example, with a risk level of $10^{-6}$ an individual would have a 1 in $1,000,000$ chance of developing cancer if exposed to the concentration expressed in the standard.

SEDIMENT: Bottom material in a lake that has been deposited after the formation of a Lake basin. It originates from remains of aquatic organisms, chemical precipitation of dissolved minerals, and erosion of surrounding lands.

SITE-SPECIFIC: Refers to a particular water body. Typically applied to situations where criteria have been developed for a specific water body.

SPATIAL VARIABILITY: Variations in parameters around the lake (e.g. across and along the lake).
STANDING CROP: Another term for biomass.
STRATIFICATION: Layering of water caused by differences in water density. Thermal stratification is typical of most deep Lakes during summer. Chemical stratification can also occur.

SURFACE WATERS OF THE PLPT: All water bodies within the exterior boundaries of the Tribal Reservation.

TERMINAL LAKE: A lake with no outlet to facilitate flushing; e.g. Pyramid Lake. All materials which enter the Lake basin either stay in solution or settle to the bottom sediments.

THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES: Plant and animal species protected under the Federal Endangered Species Act

TERTIARY TREATMENT: Level of effluent treatment at municipal waste facilities where nitrogen, phosphorus, or both are removed before wastewater is discharged into surface waters.

THERMAL STRATIFICATION: Lake stratification caused by temperature-created differences in water density.

THERMOCLINE: A horizontal plane across a Lake at the depth of the most rapid vertical change in temperature and density in a stratified lake. See metalimnion.

TOXICITY: Refers to acute or chronic toxicity.
TOXIC POLLUTANT: Pollutants, or combinations of pollutants, including disease-causing agents, which after discharge and upon exposure, ingestion, inhalation or assimilation into any organism, either directly from the environment or indirectly by ingestion through food chains, will, on the basis of information available to the USEPA, cause death, disease, behavioral abnormalities, cancer, genetic mutations, physiological and/or reproductive malfunctions, or physical deformations, in such organisms or their offspring.

TRIBE: Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe
TRIBAL COUNCIL: Elected governing body of the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe.
TRIBAL INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAM (TIDT): Tribal Interdisciplinary Team composed of the Tribal Chairman, Tribal Vice-Chairman; and Directors, Managers and Specialists within the Environmental, Pyramid Lake Fisheries, and Water Resource departments of the PLPT, whose mission is to work with Tribal Council to manage air, land, and water related activities within the exterior boundaries of the Pyramid Lake Paiute Indian Reservation.

TRIBAL NATURAL RESOURCE DEPARTMENTS: The Environmental, Pyramid Lake Fisheries, or the Water Resource Departments of the PLPT.

TROPHIC STATE: The degree of eutrophication of a lake. Transparency, chlorophyll-a levels, phosphorus concentrations, amount of macrophytes, and quantity of dissolved oxygen in the hypolimnion can be used to assess state; e.g. oligotrophic.

TRP: Abbreviation for total reactive phosphorus.
$\mu \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ : Micrograms per liter; one thousand times less than $\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$.
USEPA: US Environmental Protection Agency
WATER COLUMN: Water in the lake between the interface with the atmosphere at the surface and the interface with the sediment layer at the bottom. Idea derives from vertical series of measurements (oxygen, temperature, phosphorus) used to characterize lakewater.

WATER QUALITY STANDARD: A water quality standard is a regulation or law which consists of the beneficial use, water quality criteria which are necessary to protect the use(s), and an antidegradation policy. A water quality standard establishes water quality goals for a specific waterbody and is the basis for establishing water quality based treatment control and strategies beyond the technology based levels of treatment required in the CWA (Sections 301 (b) and 306).

WATERSHED: A drainage area or basin in which all land and water areas drain or flow toward a central collector such as a stream, river, or lake at a lower elevation.

ZOOPLANKTON: Microscopic animals which float freely in lake water, graze on detritus particles, bacteria, and algae, and may be consumed by fish.

Note - many of these definitions are taken from Lake and Reservoir Restoration Guidance Manual prepared by the North American Lake Management Society (L. Moore and K. Thornton, eds.) for the Office of Research and Development Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon and the Office of Water Criteria \& Standards Division Nonpoint Sources Branch of U.S. EPA, Washington, D.C., 1988, and the Tribal Water Quality Standards Template, A cooperative project between the Coordinated Tribal Water Quality Program and the USEPA - Region 10, Final Draft, December 1994.

## SECTION III

# SCIENTIFIC JUSTIFICATION FOR SITE-SPECIFIC 

## WATER QUALITY CRITERIA

## TEMPERATURE

Water temperature is an important factor affecting the suitability of lakes and rivers as habitat for fish. Typically, fish species are adapted to successfully compete and reproduce within a range of water temperatures, with either reduced growth or death resulting from a stressful temperature regime. A stressful temperature regime can also affect fish in physiological and/or behavioral ways that limit a waterbody's ability to function as a beneficial environment for that fish. For Pyramid Lake, Lahontan Cutthroat Trout (LCT) and Cui-ui are both coldwater species requiring that water temperature remain below a threshold value for the fish to survive and successfully spawn. The temperature of Pyramid Lake is not a constraint to the survival of either species due to ample cold waters in the deeper portions of the lake throughout the year (Lebo et al. 1992a,b). The summer thermocline in Pyramid Lake typically establishes itself in the vicinity of $20-30 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth. Water temperatures below that depth are always adequate for fish survival. This was an important consideration in setting the dissolved oxygen $(\geq 6.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ control point in the Lake at 70 m . If oxygen above 70 m is sufficient for survival, this gives fish the zone between $20-30 \mathrm{~m}$ and 70 m as refuge against higher surface temperatures.

However, warming of Truckee River waters during the spawning season for both species and the juvenile stage for LCT (Dickerson and Vineyard 1999) can be a serious threat to the restoration of a naturally self-sustaining fishery for those two species. It also is an important factor in the listing of the Cui-ui as endangered and LCT as threatened at the current time (USFWS 1992). An inadequate temperature regime in the lower Truckee River during spring and summer is sufficient by itself to interfere with the successful natural spawning of LCT and Cui-ui regardless of other water quality factors (USFWS 1993).

The temperature requirements for the Pyramid Lake fishery for spawning of LCT and Cui-ui in the Truckee River and rearing of juvenile LCT through the summer have been defined through previous studies of the system. Through extensive work by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and others, the temperature requirements for the spawning of Cui-ui and LCT have been well-defined. Indeed, present water management strategies (in years with adequate flow) are directed toward maintaining water temperatures in the lower river below $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during November-March and $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during April-June to allow for the spawning of Cui-ui and LCT. Monitoring of the Pyramid Lake spawning run indicates that adult LCT begin to enter the Truckee River as early as November and may remain in the river as late as June. The recent identification of larval and juvenile LCT in the Truckee River downstream of Derby Dam confirms that LCT are successfully reproducing in this reach. Dunham (1999) identified a weekly maximum temperature of $12.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the protection of LCT during spawning, egg incubation, and fry emergence.

There are two direct studies which address the issue of juvenile LCT and summer water temperature in the lower Truckee River. These must be taken as the best scientific information currently available. This first is by Vigg and Koch (1980) who reported that a constant water temperature of between $21.8^{\circ}$ C and $23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (mean of $22.3-22.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ depending on strain of fish) is lethal to juvenile LCT. That study is based on a temperature toxicity study conducted at the Desert Research Institute during the late 1970s where 63-70 millimeter juvenile LCT, assayed in Truckee River water, were exposed to increasing temperatures over a period of several weeks. The authors reported sub-lethal effects (e.g. stress
symptoms, feeding inhibition, and loss of equilibrium) occurred at temperatures approximately $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower than lethal temperatures.

A more recent study done at the University of Nevada-Reno (Dickerson and Vineyard 1999) concluded that while "there was no significant mortality observed at treatment temperatures of $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and below", "chronic stress experiments suggest that the upper limit for growth and survival in LCT [juveniles] is between $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when food availability is high". These authors provide further data to indicate LCT may be tolerant to temperatures above the chronic stress values provided the remaining temperatures during a diel period ( 24 hours) are lower. They state that fish tolerances to higher temperatures are not increased, but rather, fish will remain inactive and resume activity once temperatures decline. Even though these 'fluctuating temperature' experiments hold out the possibility that LCT can tolerate temperatures greater than the $22-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ values reported in this study, provided they are part of a normal daily fluctuation which brings temperatures down during the less warm periods of the day, Dickerson and Vineyard (1999) point out that their conclusions are based on the assumption that sufficient food is present in the natural environment. They state that the food ration used during the experiment was very high relative to field conditions, and the amount of food available to fish in the "wild" should be considered in future temperature tolerance studies.

The recommended temperature standards for the Truckee River are designed to protect the propagation of the Pyramid Lake fishery by providing an adequate temperature regime for spawning and juvenile rearing. Identical to the State of Nevada, the temperature standards for river waters during November through March and April through June are $\leq 13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\leq 14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. The standard set by the State of Nevada during July through October of each year is $\leq 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, although they recognize a lower water temperature is needed to support juvenile LCT in the Truckee River (Footnote " f " in their water quality standard). This condition was also recognized by the USFWS in their 1993 Biological Opinion (File No. 1-5-93-F-30) to the USEPA. The PLPT recommends that temperature standard for the portion of the Truckee River on the Reservation during July through October be set at $\leq 21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ implemented as a daily average. In the Truckee River, daily fluctuations in water temperature can be as large as five degrees centigrade over a 24 -hour period (P. Wagner, former Pyramid Lake Fisheries Director pers. comm.). The implementation of a summer water temperature standard for the river as a daily average takes into account that sufficient food is present for the fish, and they have access to "cold water" refugium during part of the diel period.

## TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS

The total dissolved solids (TDS) concentration in aquatic systems is an important factor determining species composition and biodiversity. In systems where TDS concentrations are low, freshwater species will dominate the biological assemblage while saline species will be found when TDS is high. At moderate levels of TDS, such as in Pyramid Lake, species which are both tolerant and intolerant of high TDS concentrations occur. This mixture of biological organisms makes slightly saline lakes like Pyramid both unique and susceptible to changes in the TDS concentration. An increase in the TDS concentration above natural levels may be toxic to some of the more sensitive organisms present, leading to a change in biological diversity. Thus, an increase in the TDS concentration in Pyramid Lake may alter the ecological community by eliminating salt intolerant species.

## Pyramid Lake TDS

The total dissolved solids (TDS) water quality standard proposed for Pyramid Lake of 5,900 mg• $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ is based on concerns for biotoxicity. The beneficial uses for Pyramid Lake include a sport fishery, protection of threatened and endangered species, preservation of indigenous aquatic life, and preservation of unique ecological communities. An increase in the TDS concentration of the Lake may threaten all of these uses by eliminating prey species for LCT and Cui-ui and affecting the survival of Cui-ui larvae. The recommended TDS standard for the Lake is designed to protect the integrity of the biological assemblage in the Lake by protecting the most sensitive species from toxic levels of TDS.

The impact of increasing TDS concentration in Pyramid Lake was evaluated by a series of toxicity experiments conducted by Lockheed Ocean Sciences Laboratory (1982) and D.L. Galat (e.g. Galat and Robinson 1983). Those experiments evaluated the effects of a range of TDS concentrations on the algal, zooplankton, benthic invertebrate, and fish species found in Pyramid Lake. TDS concentrations tested ranged from nearly fresh water in some cases up to 4.5 times the current TDS of the Lake at the time of the testing $\left(5,839 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$. The results of the studies indicated that an increase in TDS concentration in Pyramid Lake above the present value at that time would likely affect the survival of several organisms in the Lake including Cui-ui. In the experiments, the survival of the stage of Cui-ui larvae entering Pyramid Lake after spawning of adults in the Truckee River showed a large decrease between values of 5839 and $8500 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ indicating that a further increase in the TDS concentration of the Lake above $5839 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ could affect the recovery of the Cui-ui population. Small increases in TDS also adversely affected the survival of two zooplankton species and two species of benthic invertebrates. Since the zooplankton and benthic invertebrate populations in the Lake are a major food source for the Cui-ui and other high organisms, their elimination could also affect the survival of Cui-ui and other fish species in the Lake. Certainly, even a small increase in the TDS concentration of Pyramid Lake could cause shifts in the biological assemblage in the Lake changing the ecological community.

The recommended TDS standard for Pyramid Lake of $<5900 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ is intended to protect the aquatic life of the system by maintaining its biological integrity and is consistent with the Cui-ui recovery plan developed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS 1992). At that level, the biological integrity of the Lake should be maintained by promoting the recovery of the Cui-ui population to a self-sustaining level and preserving the diversity of the zooplankton and benthic invertebrate populations. Those conditions should allow for the perpetuation of the unique ecological community that has developed in the Lake. Because TDS concentration in the Lake has approached the proposed standard value, water management in the Truckee River watershed should focus on providing as much high quality water (e.g., low TDS) as possible to the Lake.

## Truckee River TDS

The recommended total dissolved solids (TDS) standards for the Truckee River are identical with those adopted by the State of Nevada under the category of "RMHQ", consistent with the PLPT's proposed Antidegradation policy.

## NITROGEN

Nitrogen concentration in aquatic ecosystem is an important factor affecting algal production. Although it is generally assumed that algal production in lakes is controlled by the availability of phosphorus, research in arid and mountainous regions of the western United States has shown that nitrogen can be of equal or greater importance is some systems (e.g. Thornton and Rast 1989; Elser et al. 1990). This pattern of nitrogen control in the arid west has been shown by Reuter et al. (1991) to include lakes in northern Nevada, especially Pyramid Lake. The extensive eutrophication study conducted at Pyramid Lake during 1989-1992, which served as a basis for developing these WQS, provides overwhelming evidence for nitrogen control of algal growth in the Lake (e.g. Reuter et al. 1993; Lebo et al. 1994). Throughout our study, the nitrogen fractions available to algae were consistently low, indicating a strong potential for nitrogen limitation of algal growth. This general conclusion of nitrogen limitation was supported by nitrogen deficiency of algal cells, stimulation of algal growth by nitrogen addition, and the presence of algal species in the Lake which are able to utilize atmospheric $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas to support growth. Annual productivity in the Lake was also directly related to the supply of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) to the surface waters of Pyramid Lake during the six years when adequate data was available (Figure III.1).

## Pyramid Lake DIN

The dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN, or nitrate plus nitrite, and ammonium) standard recommended for Pyramid Lake is designed to both promote and protect the fishery. One of the primary beneficial uses for Pyramid Lake is as a coldwater sport fishery upon which the PLPT depends for part of its economic base. A coldwater fishery in a lake environment has two basic requirements: an adequate food supply to promote growth and a well-oxygenated habitat. The dissolved inorganic nitrogen standard for Pyramid Lake is designed to meet both needs. Algal growth and biomass production in aquatic systems provides food for animals such as zooplankton, benthic invertebrates, and fish. When nutrients are in short supply, the algal production is low thereby limiting food availability to all higher levels in the food chain. In contrast, excessive nutrients in aquatic systems stimulate large algal blooms which contribute to the depletion of dissolved oxygen (DO) in bottom waters as the algal cells settle to the bottom and are decomposed by bacteria. This depletion of DO in highly productive systems (i.e. eutrophic) limits available coldwater habitat for fish during summer stratified periods by making bottom waters unsuitable for the fish and potentially lethal. The recommended DIN standard for the Lake is intended to balance these two competing needs of the coldwater fishery .

Our approach for promoting and protecting the fishery of Pyramid Lake through a single DIN standard deviates from typical WQS where total nitrogen (TN) is used to approximate available nitrogen for algal growth. It also deviates from individual standards for the different fractions which comprise DIN. Algae can utilize both nitrate and ammonium to support growth and the single DIN standard for Pyramid Lake takes that ability into account. Contrasting the availability of DIN to algae, TN includes several forms of nitrogen that are not available to algae for growth (over periods of days to years). We have chosen to regulate the growth stimulatory compounds directly, in this case DIN, rather than the total nitrogen pool, although a TN standard is also recommended for the Lake (see below). Separate standards are recommended for components of the DIN pool which are potentially toxic to aquatic life at concentrations similar to the total DIN standard. These are nitrite and unionized ammonia.

An empirically based eutrophication model was developed for Pyramid Lake to facilitate the determination of an appropriate level of DIN in the Lake (Lebo et al. 1994a). The model was based on mathematically defined relationships between different variables defined from available data for the Lake. In addition to the strong empirical relationship between DIN input to surface waters and algal production (see Figure III.1), a relationship between annual algal production and depletion of bottom
water oxygen was also determined. These two relationships were linked together to provide a modeling tool to predict the maximum total DIN input to surface waters that will maintain well-oxygenated coldwater habitat for the fish population of the Lake. The maximum input of DIN to surface waters to support algal production was then partitioned between river loading, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ fixation during Nodularia spumigena blooms, and internal mixing processes which depend on the total Lake DIN concentration. This model was used to predict DIN loading needed to maintain dissolved oxygen at a control depth of 70 m at or above the standard of $6.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. This resulted in a whole-Lake average concentration of approximately $95 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ DIN/l as measured at overturn. This value is support by measured ambient concentrations in the Lake during the 1970's when algal production was higher but when DO was adequate.


Figure III. 1 Comparison of annual algal production in Pyramid Lake and total available dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) in the surface waters of the Lake. The numbers on the plot indicate the year from which the data point was derived. Units for the axes are grams carbon per square meter per year for algal production and metric tons of nitrogen for available DIN. Chapter 15 of the final report series summarizes how these numbers were determined (Lebo, M.E., J.E. Reuter, and C.R. Goldman. 1994a, Vol. IV).

## Pyramid Lake TN

The recommended total nitrogen (TN) standard for Pyramid Lake is designed to protect the Lake against excessive eutrophication and the corresponding water quality degradation which typically accompanies large algal blooms. In Pyramid Lake, TN is a poor predictor of available nitrogen to support algal growth due to high concentrations of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) in the Lake; DON typically accounts for $>85 \%$ of TN in the Lake and often contributes $90-95 \%$ of the total. Although the DON fraction in Lakes is poorly characterized, it is generally unavailable to algae until it is mineralized by bacteria to inorganic nutrients (i.e. DIN). A portion of the nitrogen bound in DON is a source of DIN to the Lake over longer time periods (months to years) through mineralization by bacteria, the PLPT recommends the TN concentration in the Lake does not increase to more than $1,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1(1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ expressed as nitrogen). The TN standard is higher than typical concentrations of $600-800 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ that have been reported for Pyramid Lake (Galat and Verdin 1988; Lebo et al. 1992) and is designed to protect against the eutrophication of the Lake in the future.

## Truckee River Nitrogen

The recommended nitrogen standards for the Truckee River are identical to those adopted by the State of Nevada to protect beneficial uses in the river. Water quality in the lower Truckee River has been severely impacted by high nutrient loading upstream of the Reservation. The excessive nutrient loading contributes to the development of a large biomass of attached algae in the river and a corresponding depletion of nighttime dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations. Low DO levels in the river make it unsuitable habitat for coldwater fish species, especially when flow is inadequate. There has been effort over the past ten years to model DO violations in the river based on nitrogen loading and algal growth and biological oxygen demand. The PLPT does not consider this work adequate enough at this time to justify change from the existing State of Nevada values.

Un-ionized ammonia is more toxic than ionized ammonia as pH increases. This condition could develop where the Truckee River ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.0-8.5$ ) discharges to the Pyramid Lake delta ( $\mathrm{pH} 9.0-9.5$ ), which could potentially affect aquatic life. The delta provides habitat for Cui-ui and LCT spawners, and also fry migrating to the Lake. Therefore, Pyramid Lake pH will be used to calculate the un-ionized ammonia component of total ammonia in Truckee River water samples.

## PHOSPHORUS

The concentration of phosphorus in aquatic systems has received both intense investigation and regulation over the past three decades due to its importance in stimulating algal growth. This interest in phosphorus is based on extensive scientific studies that have been conducted primarily in northern, temperate areas of North America and Europe. In those regions, scientists have shown there is often a direct relationship between algal biomass and the total concentration of phosphorus. A general relationship between algal biomass and phosphorus is expected since plants require a relatively large amount of phosphorus for growth. The problem with high algal biomass in aquatic systems is that it can contribute to the depletion of dissolved oxygen in bottom waters which is harmful to fish and other animals. This enhanced algal growth in lakes and rivers due to nutrient additions (primarily phosphorus and nitrogen) is called eutrophication and is generally undesirable in healthy systems. The regulatory interest in phosphorus and the corresponding movement to reduce phosphorus inputs to aquatic systems is based on its role in eutrophication.

Phosphorus control of algal growth, although often assumed, is not universally true. In semi-arid and mountainous regions in the western United States, the availability of nitrogen may be of equal or greater importance in limiting algal growth (e.g. Thornton and Rast 1989; Reuter et al. 1991). Indeed, Elser et al. (1990) recently surveyed published studies on the importance of nitrogen and phosphorus as factors stimulating algal growth in laboratory assays. The authors concluded that nitrogen as a limiting factor may have a more important role than previously recognized. Further, investigations of nutrient cycling in streams in the western United States suggests that phosphorus may be supplied to the water through geochemical release from sediments and suspended particles (e.g. Grimm and Fisher 1986).

For Pyramid Lake, the scientific evidence from both prior studies and this project overwhelmingly supports nitrogen control of algal growth (see above). Pyramid Lake is consistently low in the nitrogen fractions needed by algae compared with much higher phosphate concentrations (e.g. Reuter et al. 1993; Lebo et al. 1994). This suggests a strong potential for nitrogen limitation of algal growth at all times. Further, algal cells showed a deficiency in nitrogen, particularly during the summer period when surface waters are isolated from deeper portions of the Lake due to the seasonal warming of surface waters. The algal population of the Lake was also shown to be stimulated by the addition of dissolved nitrogen in laboratory assays. Finally, we found that the rate of annual algal production in Pyramid Lake was directly related to the amount of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN, nitrate and ammonium) available to algae in the surface waters of the Lake. Our conclusion from the eutrophication study was that nitrogen is the primary factor controlling algal growth, and thus, phosphorus is only of secondary importance due to naturally high concentrations in Lake waters.

The secondary importance of phosphorus in controlling algal growth indicates regulation of phosphorus inputs to Pyramid Lake is of minimal importance to water quality. This finding contrasts the conventional wisdom about lakes but is consistent with other aquatic systems in arid regions of the western United States. It is noteworthy that Pyramid Lake does experience large blooms of the bluegreen alga Nodularia spumigena. Because blue-green algae can utilize atmospheric $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas as a nitrogen source for growth, phosphorus may be an important factor for the growth of N. spumigena. Fortunately, it appears that the annual bloom size for $N$. spumigena is strongly affected by regional weather patterns (Lebo et al. 1994; C.L. Rhodes unpubl. data). This suggests that the current phosphorus concentration in Pyramid Lake is not a primary factor affecting the development of blooms of $N$. spumigena, but the concentration should not increase substantially or it may be a factor in the future. The recommended phosphorus standards for the Lake are designed to protect against the accumulation of phosphorus in Pyramid Lake as a protective measure against sustained or enhanced blue-green algal blooms. The PLPT recommends the concentrations of phosphate (e.g. DRP) and total phosphorus in Pyramid Lake do not increase by more than $15 \%$ over current values observed in the Lake.

Algal growth in the Truckee River, similar to Pyramid Lake, is also probably limited by the availability of nitrogen. In an extensive computer modeling study of the Truckee River conducted by Jim Brock and associates (Brock et al. 1992), limiting the total loading of nitrogen and not phosphorus to the river from both point sources and other diffuse (nonpoint) sources was essential to maintain adequate dissolved oxygen concentrations for the fish population. The problem with high algal densities in the river is that algal respiration during nighttime depletes dissolved oxygen below values necessary for the fish population of the river. Thus, it appears that the phosphorus concentration in the river is also of secondary importance. It is important to note that the contribution of blue-green algae species to the total algal population in the Truckee River is unknown. To protect against the proliferation of blue-green algae in the river, the phosphorus concentration should not substantially increase.

The phosphorus standard recommended for the lower Truckee River on the Reservation is based on its secondary importance in regulating algal growth. The USEPA typically regulates phosphorus in aquatic systems as a total concentration, which assumes both particle-bound and dissolved forms of phosphorus are equally available to plants. However, the dissolved inorganic form of phosphorus (or phosphate) is the primary form of the nutrient used by algae to meet growth needs. To take into account the form of phosphorus used by algae, the PLPT recommends a phosphorus standard in the form of phosphate or dissolved reactive phosphorus, which should not exceed $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / 1 \mathrm{or} 0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ as an annual average. An advantage of a phosphate standard rather than one for total phosphorus is that it regulates the availability of phosphorus to the algae, which is the intention of the standard. It also has the advantage that an increase in suspended sediment in the river (high particle-bound phosphorus concentration) will not cause a violation in the phosphorus standard simply due to increased turbidity (for which there is a different standard). The annual average phosphate concentration should be calculated as the mean of 12 monthly flow weighted average values.

## TOXICS

Under Section 304 (a) of the Clean Water Act, the USEPA publishes water quality criteria which consist of scientifically determined concentrations for specific chemicals in water which should not be exceeded if aquatic life and human health are to be protected. These USEPA issued criteria are based on the latest scientific information available. Scientific understanding of toxics is an evolving discipline. If new scientific data becomes available to support a change in the numeric criteria adopted in the PLPT's water quality standards, which are more protective of human health and biological resources, these criteria can be changed. If adopted by States or Tribes as part of their WQS, the USEPA will support the use of these criteria as being adequate to meet related beneficial uses. For the PLPT's Water Quality Standards we use the recommended concentrations for toxic organic and metal pollutants as presented in the Federal Register Vol. 63, No. 237, Thursday, December 10, 1998. The exception is the human health criteria for mercury which is discussed in further detail below. All footnotes which appear in association with the specific compounds taken from the USEPA criteria in the document above also apply.

The concentrations presented in these criteria represent levels in water which should not be exceeded. A risk level of $10^{-6}$ is assumed for carcinogens unless otherwise noted and defines the probability that exposure will result in cancer during a lifetime. At a risk level of $10^{-6}$ an individual would have a 1 in $1,000,000$ chance of developing cancer if exposed to the concentration higher than that expressed in the standard. For protection of freshwater aquatic life, criteria concentrations are given in terms of acute (Criterion Maximum Concentration -- 1-hr average) and chronic (Criterion Continuous Concentration -- 96-hr average) toxicity, with an acceptable exceedance frequency of once every three years. For human health, criteria concentrations are given in terms of conditions where organisms from the water body of concern are consumed and when both organisms and water are consumed. For the PLPT's Water Quality Standards it is assumed that for Pyramid Lake and other tribal waters, organisms (fish) and not water are ingested. For toxic organic pollutants, values not presented in the numeric standards in Tables II. 5 and II. 6 is missing because the USEPA has not recommended a concentration for that particular compound.

For certain toxic metal pollutants, toxicity to aquatic life is in part mitigated by the hardness of the water (hardness defined as a measure of the calcium and magnesium salts present in water). For those metals the USEPA has derived an equation to determine the criterion concentration based on hardness (for hardness less than or equal to $400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ). Priority pollutant metals criteria concentrations for almost all metals are expressed in terms of allowable dissolved metal following the USEPA guidance as it appears in the Federal register (Vol. 63, No. 237, Thursday, December 10, 1998). Again, all footnotes apply regarding the use of these recommended equations.

Arsenic is a carcinogenic, priority pollutant metal. The PLPT has adopted the USEPA recommended criteria of $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ and $340 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ for freshwater aquatic life (CCC and CMC, respectively). However, background levels of arsenic can be elevated in certain regional water bodies in this region due to natural geologic conditions. For example, in Steamboat Creek (an important tributary to the Truckee River near Reno, NV), arsenic concentrations immediately downstream of Steamboat Hot Springs were as high as $1,400 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ with an annual average of approximately $650 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ (Reuter and Goldman 1993). At the confluence of the Truckee River, the annual arsenic level in Steamboat Creek was still greater than $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. Ambient concentrations in Truckee River water were measured in August, 1993 at a series of six stations between Interstate-80 and Marble Bluff Dam. This sampling was part of a larger effort supported by the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection to determine concentrations of toxic pollutants in both the river and Lake (done in cooperation with the PLPT, the University of California Limnological Research Group, and USEPA - EMSL, Las Vegas). Truckee

River concentrations ranged from 7.9 to $10.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. Arsenic concentrations in Pyramid Lake were even higher at 107 and $118 \mu \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ for samples taken at two independent stations. Given that the Lake has no outfall and accumulates solutes, these higher concentrations are not unexpected.

Arsenic in both the river and Lake come from regional, non-anthropogenic sources, and control of these levels is not necessarily feasible. Since there is insufficient data to fully know the seasonal and interannual variation in the elevated background concentrations of arsenic in Pyramid Lake and the Truckee River, specific human health criteria for the consumption of fish and water or fish alone are not considered practical at this time.

Mercury, and specifically mercury bioaccumulation in fish, has become one of the single most critical water pollution issues throughout much of the US and internationally. The primary source of this mercury problem, for the majority of the world, is atmospheric in nature, with accelerated loading during the past century of trace amounts of atmospheric mercury derived from generalized, global industrial sources. However, in California and Nevada, the mercury problem is greatly exacerbated by the historic legacy of mining. Extensive use of mercury in gold and silver mining on both slopes of the Sierra Nevada have resulted in wide-ranging, bulk mercury contamination that dominates mercury loading and bioaccumulation to this day in affected drainages (Slotton, Ayers 2004).

Recent exploratory studies have revealed alarmingly high levels of mercury bioaccumulation in a tributary to the Truckee, Steamboat Creek (Slotton, Ayers 2003). The possibility was raised that elevated mercury loading and bioaccumulation may be an issue for the fisheries of downstream Pyramid Lake. In response, an assessment study of mercury and mercury bioaccumulation in Pyramid Lake and the lower Truckee River was conducted by Dr. Darell Slotton and Shaun Ayers (UC Davis Environmental Mercury Laboratory) in cooperation with the PLPT and the USEPA.

The initial study found mercury at some levels of concern in lake fish, particularly the larger LCT. Muscle mercury was found above the USEPA criterion level of 0.30 ppm in $56 \%$ of adult trout sampled and in $100 \%$ of the trout over 18 inches in length. Concentrations ranged to 0.74 ppm , exceeding the World Health Organization consumption guideline of 0.50 ppm in $16 \%$ of samples. The initial assessment study also characterized mercury in the other primary fish species of Pyramid Lake and in small fish and aquatic insect bioindicator species in the lower Truckee River. Sampling of the inflowing river, lake bottom sediments, and lake geothermal waters indicated that the elevated mercury in the system was not primarily derived from sources within Tribal land, but was instead apparently mainly derived from the upstream Truckee River (above the PLIR).

The PLPT has adopted the fresh water aquatic CMC and CCC concentrations as suggested by the USEPA (1998), and the human health criteria of mercury for fish consumption based on the results of the UC Davis study on Pyramid Lake fish (see Table II.6).
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[^0]:    * At $15 \& 16 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ (above table), the criterion for fish ELS absent is the same as the criterion for fish ELS present.

